	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA
3	Case No. 25-30002 (Jointly Administered)
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	GENERATIONS ON 1st, LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	Case No. 25-30003 (Jointly Administered)
12	x
13	In the Matter of:
14	
15	PARKSIDE PLACE, LLC,
16	
17	Debtor.
18	x
19	Case No. 25-30004
20	x
21	In the Matter of:
22	
23	The Ruins, LLC,
24	
25	Debtor.

Page	Page 4
1x	1 APPEARANCES:
2	2
3	3 THE DAKOTA BANKRUPTCY FIRM
4 United States Bankruptcy Court	4 Attorney for Debtors
5 Quentin N. Burdick U.S. Courthous	
6 655 1st Ave. N.	6 Fargo, ND 58102-4246
7 Fargo, ND 58102	7
8	8 BY: MAURICE VERSTANDIG
9 Monday, November 24, 2025	9 CHRISTIANNA A. CATHCART
10 8:30 AM	10
11	11 VOGEL LAW FIRM
12	12 Attorneys for Red River State Bank
13	13 218 Northern Pacific Avenue
14	14 Fargo, ND 58102
15	15
16	16 BY: KESHA TANABE
17	17 CAREN W. STANLEY
18	18 DREW J. HUSHKA
19	19
20	20 DAVENPORT EVANS HURWITZ & SMITH LLP
21 BEFORE:	21 Attorney for Red River State Bank
22 HON SHON HASTINGS	22 206 West 14th Street
23 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	23 Sioux Falls, SD 57101-1030
24	24
25 ECRO: UNKNOWN	25 BY: ANTHONY M. HOHN
Page	Page 5
1 HEARING re Motion by Red River State Bank to Convert Case	1 KD LAW, PLLC
2 from Chapter 11 to 7 filed 09/26/2025 (Doc. 109)	2 Attorney for D&M Industries, Inc.
3	3 3429 Interstate Boulevard
4 HEARING re Joinder by Watertown Development Company to Red	4 P.O. Box 9231
5 River State Bank's Motion to Convert Case from Chapter 11 to	5 Fargo, ND 58106-9231
6 7 filed 10/10/2025 (Doc. 131)	6
7	7 BY: JOHN M. KRINGS, JR.
8 HEARING re Objection by Debtor to Red River State Bank's	8
9 Motion to Convert Case from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 filed	9 WOODS FULLER SHULTZ & SMITH PC
10 10/17/2025 (Doc. 143)	10 Attorney for Watertown Development Company
11	11 300 South Phillips Avenue, Suite 300
12	12 Sioux Falls, SD 57104
13	13
14	14 BY: JORDAN J. FEIST
15	15
16	16 BASSFORD REMELE
17	17 Attorney for Diamond Wall Systems
18	18 Fifth Street Towers
19	19 100 South 5th Street, Suite 1500
20	20 Minneapolis, MN 55402
21	21
22	22 BY: JEFFREY D. KLOBUCAR
23	23
24	24
25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde	24 25

Page 6	
	1 Development Corp Company.
PAGE	2 MR. FEIST: Good afternoon. Jordan Feist on
3	3 behalf of Watertown Development Company.
4 WITNESS(ES): DX CX RDX RCX	4 THE COURT: Is there anyone appearing on behalf of
5 JOSHUA LUTHER	5 D&M Industries?
6 By Mr. Hushka 12 92	6 MR. KRINGS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. John
7 By Mr. VerStandig 63 95	7 Krings on behalf of D&M Industries.
8 By Mr. Feist 89	8 THE COURT: Diamond Wall Systems? Any other
9	9 parties who'd like to make an appearance? Okay. Now that
10 EXHIBITS	10 we've taken appearances, and I know who's all here, I wanted
11 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE	11 to go through a couple of logistics. We're supposed to get
12 ECF 95-2 Second Appraisal Report 37	12 bad weather, which is why there are a lot of people
13 ECF 95-3 Third Appraisal Report 37	13 appearing by the video conference today. I get that. And I
14 ECF 59 Red River Appraisal Report 62	14 approved that. I don't anticipate that people can't get to
15	15 the courthouse, including me, tomorrow.
16	16 If something happens, I will just ask that you
17	17 call the clerk's office. The clerk's office will have
18	18 somebody answering the phone, no matter how bad it is
19	19 outside, because we have teleworking policies in place to
20	20 ensure that those phones are answered. And so I will know
21	21 if for some reason there are crucial witnesses that can't
22	22 make it to the courthouse tomorrow.
23	23 I only live a mile away, so I fully anticipate
24	24 that I can get here, but you'll have to let me know if
25	
25	25 you're having a problem. And by let me, I mean let the
Page 7	Page 9
1 PROCEEDINGS	1 court know.
2 THE COURT: So the next case I am going to call is	2 I think based on what I am bearing in terms of the
	2 I think based on what I am hearing in terms of the
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka?
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed	 3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank.	 3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today.
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight	 3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take	 3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure.
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor?	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person?
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom.	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank. 19 MR. HUSHKA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Attorney	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank.	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote 19 appearance that has been approved as a fallback.
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank. 19 MR. HUSHKA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Attorney 20 Drew Hushka appearing with Caren Stanley in person in the	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote 19 appearance that has been approved as a fallback. 20 THE COURT: So it would be my strong preference to
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank. 19 MR. HUSHKA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Attorney 20 Drew Hushka appearing with Caren Stanley in person in the 21 courtroom. Co-counsel Kesha Tanabe is appearing via Zoom. 22 We have the representatives from Red River State Bank with	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote 19 appearance that has been approved as a fallback. 20 THE COURT: So it would be my strong preference to 21 hear that testimony in person from representatives of Red 22 River State Bank and from Mr. Craig. So if we run into some
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank. 19 MR. HUSHKA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Attorney 20 Drew Hushka appearing with Caren Stanley in person in the 21 courtroom. Co-counsel Kesha Tanabe is appearing via Zoom. 22 We have the representatives from Red River State Bank with 23 us as well. And I see our expert is already on the Zoom as	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote 19 appearance that has been approved as a fallback. 20 THE COURT: So it would be my strong preference to 21 hear that testimony in person from representatives of Red 22 River State Bank and from Mr. Craig. So if we run into some 23 weather issues, those are the witnesses I would like you to
3 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004, in re The Ruins. And this 4 is a continued hearing on the issues that we wrapped up last 5 week. Well, we didn't wrap up. We're in the process of 6 considering the motion to dismiss or convert that was filed 7 by Red River State Bank. 8 And we needed extra time before we go straight 9 into the evidence. I think I'd first like to take 10 appearances so I know who's all here now that there aren't 11 very many people in the courtroom. So let's begin first 12 with Debtor. Who's appearing on behalf of Debtor? 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm 14 Maurice VerStandig on behalf of Debtor. And I am joined by 15 Christiana Cathcart, who is my co-counsel. The Debtor's 16 principal, Jesse Craig, should be present in the courtroom. 17 THE COURT: And he is. Okay. On behalf of Red 18 River State Bank. 19 MR. HUSHKA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Attorney 20 Drew Hushka appearing with Caren Stanley in person in the 21 courtroom. Co-counsel Kesha Tanabe is appearing via Zoom. 22 We have the representatives from Red River State Bank with	3 order of testimony that we'll hear the expert witness today 4 and then tomorrow, do you have a rough idea of who will be 5 testifying, Mr. Hushka? 6 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, it would be potentially 7 the completion of Mr. Luther if we haven't done that today. 8 I believe Ms. Daniele Harless, and then I don't believe 9 we've made a final decision on whether or not we would need 10 Mr. Aarestad or Mr. Craig as additional witnesses, but those 11 two or one and a half for sure. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you anticipate that the 13 representatives or their employees and/or representatives of 14 Red River State Bank will be testifying in person? 15 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I believe we did put in a 16 remote request already that this court approved depending on 17 when the weather moves in. They will try to be here if 18 possible in person, but if not, I believe using the remote 19 appearance that has been approved as a fallback. 20 THE COURT: So it would be my strong preference to 21 hear that testimony in person from representatives of Red 22 River State Bank and from Mr. Craig. So if we run into some

Document Page 4 of	of 55
Page 10	Page 12
1 between.	1 MR. HUSHKA: Thank you, Your Honor. Red River
2 Credibility is always important, but it seems to	2 State Bank would call Joshua Luther.
3 be particularly important in the context of some of the	3 THE COURT: Okay. I am going to have you remain
4 witnesses in this particular case. And so while I am happy	4 seated but raise your right hand and the clerk will swear
5 to accommodate expert witness testimony by video conference,	5 you in.
6 it would be my strong preference to hear representatives of	6 CLERK: Please state your name for the record.
7 either party in person.	7 THE WITNESS: Joshua Luther.
8 So if you could visit, you know, after we're	8 CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony
9 finished today or whenever is convenient for you about how	9 you are about to give in this case will be the truth, the
10 to order those, you know, in terms of whose turn it will be,	10 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
11 I'd certainly appreciate that.	11 THE WITNESS: I do.
12 MR. HUSHKA: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.	12 WHEREUPON,
13 THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you. I have to let you	13 JOSHUA LUTHER,
14 know that tomorrow I have a final pretrial conference at	14 called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to
15 10:00 a.m. I can't reschedule it, so we're just going to	15 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
16 take a short morning break. I can't imagine that it would	16 was examined and testified as follows:
17 take longer than 15-20 minutes tops. There will be no	17 THE COURT: You may proceed.
18 hearings on Wednesday.	18 MR. HUSHKA: Thank you, Your Honor.
So if we don't finish, then I am going to look to	19 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER
20 you to make a suggestion tomorrow after talking to each	20 BY MR. HUSHKA:
21 other and your witnesses about when might be the best day.	21 Q Good afternoon, sir. Can you please state your
22 I think we had some conversation about that last week, but I	22 name, spelling your last name for the record?
23 can't remember what we settled.	23 A Joshua Luther, L-U-T-H-E-R.
24 MR. HUSHKA: I think we had talked about a week	24 Q Did you attend high school, sir?
25 from today, Your Honor, the Monday after the Thanksgiving	25 A Yes.
Page 11	Page 13
1 holiday, but that was kind of penciled in and nothing inked,	1 Q Where at?
2 so to speak.	2 A I started at Washington High School, transferred
3 THE COURT: Okay. I am just going to look at my	3 to Sioux Falls Christian and graduated from Sioux Falls
4 calendar real quick. Yeah. Monday the 1st of December is	4 Christian.
5 wide open for me. If that still works for your witnesses,	5 Q When did you graduate, sir?
6 that would work for me. Yeah. Okay. So I will look to you	6 A 1995.
7 to confirm that tomorrow for sure. All right. I think that	7 Q What did you do after graduating high school?
8 covers everything on my list. Anything further before we	8 A I started at South Dakota State University in my
9 begin with the testimony?	9 four-year undergraduate degree. And then transferred to
10 MR. HUSHKA: Not from Red River, Your Honor.	10 Black Hills State University after that and graduated from
11 THE COURT: On behalf of Debtors?	11 Black Hills State University.
12 MR. VERSTANDIG: Your Honor, nothing from the	12 Q What degree did you obtain from Black Hills State?
13 Debtor other than to note that I anticipate our case will be	13 A Business Administration.
14 relatively brief but will likely involve three witnesses.	14 Q When was that?
THE COURT: Relatively brief and then I missed the	15 A December of 1999.
16 other part of your sentence.	16 Q Did you attend any schooling after Black Hills
MR. VERSTANDIG: I anticipate we'll probably be	17 State?
18 calling three witnesses. I anticipate two of them will be	18 A I obtained a master's degree from Colorado
19 in the 10- to 15-minute range, and one might push closer to	19 Technical University from its Sioux Falls campus.
20 half an hour.	20 Q And when did you obtain that degree?
21 THE COURT: That's terrific. I won't hold you to	21 A That would have been, oh boy, approximately 2005.
22 that, though, because I find that so hard to believe. I	22 I want to say. I don't have that in front of me.
23 might time you just, you know, for setting a record or	23 Q Do you hold any professional licenses?
24 something. All right. Mr. Hushka, you can call your next	24 A I have a certified general license in the State of
25 witness.	25 South Dakota, State of Minnesota, State of Iowa, and State

Document Page 5 c	of 55
Page 14	Page 16
1 of Nebraska.	1 A Yes, sir.
2 Q General license for what?	2 Q Is that what you consider The Ruins to be?
3 A It's for appraising real property.	3 A Yes.
4 Q What were the licensure requirements for those	4 Q Are you familiar with the Watertown real estate
5 states, generally speaking?	5 market? Watertown
6 A Generally, it's an approximate three-year process	6 A Yes.
7 that combines a minimum number of credit hours that we need	7 Q South Dakota?
8 of classes that we need to take that have been approved	8 A Yes.
9 by the state and pass. And then also exams for each of	9 Q How so?
10 those classes that we need to pass. There's also a final	10 A It's within my normal area of practice where we
11 exam that we need to pass. And we also have to meet we	11 provide service for our clients. And so any appraisal
12 have to perform a certain number of hours underneath another	12 assignments or requests that we're hired to do in Watertown,
13 licensed appraiser who supervises all our work during that	13 we travel up there, inspect the property, and complete the
14 period of time as well.	14 assignment. So over the course of my career, I've been to
15 Q Have you ever been subject to any disciplinary	15 Watertown many times, and have looked at studied
16 procedures as it relates to any of those licenses?	16 demographics and other market data over the course of my
17 A No, sir.	17 time as an appraiser.
18 Q Have you ever been subject to a disciplinary	18 Q Speaking of your prior time as an appraiser, have
19 procedure as it relates to a court proceeding?	19 you provided expert testimony before?
20 A No.	20 A Not in court. No.
21 Q I will talk to your work experience and background	21 Q Have you ever worked as an expert for Red River
22 a little bit. Can you provide me an overview of your	22 State Bank before this?
23 current position and employer?	23 A Not prior to this assignment. No.
24 A I currently work with CBRE. CBRE is the is a	24 Q Do you have any connection to Red River State Bank
25 global commercial real estate firm and is the largest	25 that would influence or prejudice your opinions in this
Page 15	Page 17
1 commercial real estate firm in the world, actually. I	1 case?
2 manage the Sioux Falls office, and I have two staff	2 A No.
3 appraisers who work underneath me. We cover a large	3 Q Prior to this case, have you ever worked as an
4 territory for the company and do appraisals on a daily basis	4 expert for the Vogel Law Firm?
5 for the company in the states that I am licensed in.	5 A No.
6 Q When did you start working at CBRE?	6 Q Any connection to the Vogel Law Firm that would
7 A Approximately 2017, I believe.	7 have an influence in this case?
8 Q Have you held any other positions with CBRE?	8 A No.
9 A No.	9 Q Have you ever worked as an expert for Jesse Craig,
10 Q I believe you did, but kind of went over this,	10 The Ruins, LLC, or any entity owned by Mr. Craig?
11 but your current job duties and responsibilities on a day-	11 A No.
12 to-day basis, so to speak?	12 Q Any connection with any of those that would
13 A On a day-to-day basis, we are performing appraisal	13 influence you?
14 assignments for clients all day, every day. And then also,	14 A No.
15 I supervise the work that the staff appraisers complete	15 Q Ever worked as an expert for the Dakota Bankruptcy
16 underneath me. I answer any questions that they have,	16 Firm, Mr. VerStandig or Ms. Cathcart?
17 review their work, and field calls from clients, answer	17 A No.
18 questions from clients, those types of things.	18 Q Any connection with any of those that would
19 Q Approximately how many appraisals have you	19 influence you in this case?
20 completed in your career?	20 A No, sir.
21 A It's probably been I would estimate, it's been	21 Q Mr. Luther, how did you first learn about The
22 in the thousands, probably over 1,500 assignments over the	22 Ruins, LLC?
23 course of my career.	23 A We were first hired in 2021 to appraise the
24 Q Have any of those appraisals been of mixed-use,	I.
	24 property as proposed. It did not exist at that time.
25 multifamily, mid-high-rise buildings?	24 property as proposed. It did not exist at that time. 25 Q Do you remember who contacted you?

Page 18 1 A It would have been a representative of Red River 2 State Bank. I believe Michael Martin, I think was his name. 3 Q What information were you provided at that time? 4 A We were provided kind of the typical information 5 that banks will provide us when they hire us to do an 6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 9 A Yes. We were hired. Yes. Page 1 1 This may take a moment since I am springing this on her. I 2 didn't give her pre-advisory on that. Please let me know, 3 Mr. Luther, when you can see that document on your screen. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see the beginning of 5 that document now. 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down 9 so I can see the title. There we go. Yep. I recognize
2 State Bank. I believe Michael Martin, I think was his name. 3 Q What information were you provided at that time? 4 A We were provided kind of the typical information 5 that banks will provide us when they hire us to do an 6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 2 didn't give her pre-advisory on that. Please let me know, 3 Mr. Luther, when you can see that document on your screen. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see the beginning of 5 that document now. 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
3 Q What information were you provided at that time? 4 A We were provided kind of the typical information 5 that banks will provide us when they hire us to do an 6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 3 Mr. Luther, when you can see that document on your screen. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see the beginning of 5 that document now. 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
4 A We were provided kind of the typical information 5 that banks will provide us when they hire us to do an 6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I can see the beginning of 5 that document now. 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
5 that banks will provide us when they hire us to do an 6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 5 that document now. 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
6 assignment. So floor plan drawings, cost budget, pro forma 7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 6 THE COURT: All right. Perfect. Do you recognize 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
7 income and expense statement, and things of that nature. 8 Q Were you formally retained? 7 that document? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
8 Q Were you formally retained? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do. I have to scroll down
9 A Yes. We were hired. Yes. 9 so I can see the title. There we go. Yep. I recognize
l a se
10 Q Okay. And what was your specific assignment when 10 that.
11 you were retained? 11 BY MR. HUSHKA:
12 A We were asked to provide an opinion of value for 12 Q What is that document?
13 the subject property, or The Ruins property, in its as-
14 stabilized condition in the as-complete condition. And then 14 River State Bank in 2021.
15 we also provide an as-is value conclusion as well, which is 15 MR. HUSHKA: All right. Now, I believe my friend
16 a FIRREA requirement. 16 on the other side is willing to stipulate to this exhibit.
17 Q You provided multiple reports as it relates to 17 I guess I will put that to him. Mr. VerStandig?
18 this property. Are you retained separately each time or is 18 MR. VERSTANDIG: Just for one moment. Okay. If I
19 that one overarching retainer agreement? 19 am not being difficult, I thought we were stipulating to the
20 A It's a separate contract for each assignment. So 20 July 20, 2022 one, but if the Court gives me one moment, I
21 we are hired for one assignment at a time. And when we 21 suspect we may be able to stipulate to this.
22 complete that assignment, we get paid and then that 22 THE COURT: Okay. You have a moment.
23 essentially goes away, and the process repeats each time. 23 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Apologies. I wasn't
24 Q Okay. You just said that you are paid. So you 24 trying to spring that on you, Mac. I thought Caren thought
25 are paid for your services as it relates to this matter? 25 it was this one. What's the documentary that we're looking
Page 19 Page 2
1 A That's correct. Yes, sir. 1 at?
2 Q All right. Is that on an hourly basis? Is that a 2 THE COURT: 95-1.
3 flat fee, or what does your compensation package look like? 3 MR. VERSTANDIG: I say this respectfully. I am
4 A We're compensated on a we bid on a flat-fee 4 not sure I believe that. Maybe. Hold on. I am looking at
5 price for each assignment. We're not allowed to provide a 5 the Bates stamp, so I think it's 95-1. Are you in
6 compensation that's per you know that's dependent upon 6 Generations by accident, maybe?
7 the outcome of the appraisal. So we always bid on a flat- THE COURT: I may be in the wrong case. All
8 fee basis for that reason. 8 right. I am repairing this. Oh, it's all three of them.
9 Q So is your compensation in this case at all 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. A, B, and C.
10 determined by the outcome of this case? 10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 A No, sir. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. We will stipulate.
MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, at this time I would 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
13 offer Mr. Luther as an expert in the field of commercial 13 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. B is the one that I thought
14 real estate appraisal. 14 it was, and A and C are fine. We will stipulate to
THE COURT: Any objection? 15 admissibility.
MR. VERSTANDIG: Your Honor, very briefly on voir 16 THE COURT: Okay. The court receives 95-1.
17 dire. 17 MR. HUSHKA: Thank you, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 18 BY MR. HUSHKA:
MR. VERSTANDIG: Mr. Luther, has any federal, 19 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are
20 state, or tribal court ever denied you recognition as an 20 there signatures on that page, sir?
21 expert witness? 21 A Yes.
22 THE WITNESS: No. 22 Q Who are those signatures from?
MR. VERSTANDIG: Your Honor, no objection. 23 A The first signature is from Scott Mosbach, who was
24 THE COURT: The court will recognize this expert 24 a senior appraiser with CBRE at that time. Chris Jenkins is
25 witness. If I could have the court staff pull up ECF 95-1. 25 the other signature, and he is our regional director and my

Document Page / C	
Page 22	Page 24 1 undeveloped, that value represents the land value. The as-
2 Q And if we scroll to the next page, is there	2 complete value reflects the property and its with all
3 another signature?	3 construction complete, but prior to having any tenant
4 A Yes. That is my signature.	4 occupancy in the property, so zero percent occupancy.
	5 Construction's all done, but it's not occupied. And
	-
6 document?	6 then, the as-stabilized value reflects the value of it fully
7 A That I am taking responsibility for the contents	7 stabilized based on our estimated stabilized occupancy rate
8 of the report.	8 that is described in the report or identified in the report.
9 Q Do you know when this report was created?	9 Q How long does it take a building to stabilize or
10 A Yes. It was done in May of 2021, bearing the	10 what do you use to determine that?
11 timestamp at the top.	11 A We look at a variety of factors. We're looking at
12 Q And do you know what the status of The Ruins	12 changing demographics. So for example, you know, what is
13 development was in May 2021?	13 the population growth forecasted to be? And then, we
14 A At the time, the site, there was a building on the	14 attempt to drill that down to determine what percentage of
15 site that had been demolished, and there are some	15 the population are going to need apartment units.
16 photographs in this report that provide a visual description	16 We also interview or can interview local market
17 of what that property looked like on the day that we	17 participants that would be knowledgeable as such. It could
18 inspected it, but essentially was unimproved land at that	18 be local real estate developers or local real estate
19 time.	19 brokers. We can use other projects that we've completed in
20 Q If we were to scroll back up to Page 2 of this	20 the Watertown area that we have that are, you know,
21 report, do you know how big The Ruins development project	21 retained in our work file that are confidential that don't
22 was supposed to be when completed?	22 show up in the report.
23 A Let's see here. It shows 4,162 square feet of	And then, we also look at third-party providers
24 commercial retail space, but I am not seeing the size of the	24 that give us market data such as CoStar that provide market
25 apartments on this first page.	25 analytic data for us to look at.
Page 23	Page 25
Page 23 1 Q How many units?	Page 25 1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down
1 Q How many units?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63.	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document.
 Q How many units? A I will have to they go to the 63. Q And would the total square footage be included 	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA:
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct.	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there.
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is?
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes.	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2,	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values.
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary?
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does.	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location,
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value 19 was estimated at \$11,140,000 as of the effective date of	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's 19 associated with the property.
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value 19 was estimated at \$11,140,000 as of the effective date of 20 March 4, 2023.	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's 19 associated with the property. 20 And then other important improvement characteristics
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value 19 was estimated at \$11,140,000 as of the effective date of 20 March 4, 2023. 21 Q Can you explain for the Court what the as-is	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's 19 associated with the property. 20 And then other important improvement characteristics 21 such as building size, year built, average unit size, total
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value 19 was estimated at \$11,140,000 as of the effective date of 20 March 4, 2023. 21 Q Can you explain for the Court what the as-is 22 appraisal is or kind of differentiate between these three?	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's 19 associated with the property. 20 And then other important improvement characteristics 21 such as building size, year built, average unit size, total 22 number of units, who your likely buyer is.
1 Q How many units? 2 A I will have to they go to the 63. 3 Q And would the total square footage be included 4 somewhere else in this report, perhaps the executive 5 summary? 6 A Yes. That's correct. 7 Q All right. Were those sizes used in reaching the 8 valuation for this property? 9 A Yes. 10 Q And does this page that we're looking at, Page 2, 11 provide a top-line overview of what the valuation was for 12 The Ruins? 13 A Yes. It does. 14 Q And what are those values? 15 A It shows an as-is value as of May 4, 2021, which 16 was the date of inspection, for \$520,000. The as-complete 17 value was estimated at \$10,730,000, and that is as of the 18 effective date of June 4, 2022. And the as-stabilized value 19 was estimated at \$11,140,000 as of the effective date of 20 March 4, 2023. 21 Q Can you explain for the Court what the as-is 22 appraisal is or kind of differentiate between these three? 23 A Sure. The as-is value reflects our opinion of	1 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court scroll down 2 to Page 10 of this document. 3 BY MR. HUSHKA: 4 Q I want to just walk through, Mr. Luther, a few of 5 these various categories that the Court should look at this 6 document further, understand what's being outlined there. 7 And can you explain what this section is? 8 A This is the executive summary section, which kind 9 of hits the highlights of the property characteristics and 10 corresponding reconciled values. 11 Q Can you provide a brief summary for the Court of 12 what is all provided in the executive summary? 13 A So for example, the property name and location, 14 the client who hires us will identify the parcel number if 15 one has been assigned to it. We'll also summarize the 16 highest and best use conclusions. We also will summarize 17 the day that we inspect the property in our opinion of 18 exposure and marketing time, identify the zoning that's 19 associated with the property. 20 And then other important improvement characteristics 21 such as building size, year built, average unit size, total 22 number of units, who your likely buyer is. 23 And then, it gets into our financial indicator section,

AGE 8 Of 55

Page 26

- 1 income approach to value.
- 2 So and then, below that, on the following page, we'll
- 3 summarize the value conclusions from each valuation
- 4 technique and then the reconciled values at the very bottom
- 5 of the values that we reconcile to.
- 6 Q Anything in particular that you believe should be
- 7 highlighted for the Court in this section that you haven't
- 8 gone over?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q All right.
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Can we turn to Page 15, and can you identify for
- 13 the Court what this section is, sir?
- 14 A This is the scope of work section, which kind of
- 15 identifies the scope of work that we use to develop our
- 16 opinion of value or values. So it goes through and
- 17 identifies what the intended use of the report is, who our
- 18 client is, who the intended users are for the report. It
- 19 identifies the purpose of the appraisal.
- We define the value that we're -- opinion that we're
- 21 giving here. So it includes that definition of value.
- 22 Below that is the interest appraised section. So this will
- 23 identify the property rights that are appraised for the
- 24 assignment. It also identifies how we identify the
- 25 property. So some various sources that we use to identify

1 kind of a high level view of the area that the property is

Page 28

Page 29

- 2 located. It gives a -- kind of a brief summary of some of
- 3 the demographic information. Obviously, it shows a map
- 4 there and just kind of gives a general overview of the -- in
- 5 this case, the Watertown market.
- Q If we were to go to Page 21, can you explain what
- 7 that section is?
- 8 A This section is the neighborhood analysis. So
- 9 this kind of drills down a little bit further. So within
- 10 the area analysis, we're identifying kind of a specific area
- 11 within that that we consider the neighborhood area, which is
- 12 more in close proximity to the subject property.
- 13 So again, we're talking about specific -- generally
- 14 identifying specific land uses that happen in the area, if
- 15 it's fully built up or if it's a growing area. And then,
- 16 there's also some additional demographic information that's
- 17 specific to the neighborhood that is identified here.
- 18 Q If we were to jump down to Page 26, can you
- 19 summarize what that section is?
- 20 A This is the site analysis section. So this
- 21 section identifies all the important characteristics
- 22 surrounding the site or the land. So it gets into the site
- 23 size, the zoning, parcel ID number, whether or not it's
- 24 located in a floodplain. And then our ratings of its
- 25 visibility, functional utility, observed traffic counts, and

Page 27

- 1 the data. We talk about how or what we did for the property
- 2 inspections or kind of summarizing how we inspected the
- 3 property.
- And then, also the data that we research for the
- 5 assignment is itemized in that type and extent of data
- 6 research section. Beyond that, is the data. There's
- 7 another table with the data sources of information that
- 8 we're collecting and where it came from.
- 9 And then, the final appraisal methodology section talks
- 10 about the various ways to value a property and then how --
- 11 you know, why we considered or completed certain valuation
- 12 techniques --
- 13 Q If you were --
- 14 A -- for the assignment.
- 15 Q -- to scroll up slightly back to that table on
- 16 data sources, the building area you have is provided plans.
- 17 Can you explain what that means?
- 18 A Yeah. That simply means that we were provided
- 19 floor plan drawings and that we rely on those to develop or
- 20 identify the building size and then the various size
- 21 characteristics such as unit mix and unit size, and those
- 22 things.
- 23 Q We can go down to Page 19. Can you explain what
- 24 that section is, sir?
- 25 A Page 19, that is the area analysis. So this is

- 1 then also utilities that are provided to the site. And if
- 2 there's any kind of unusual easements or encumbrances, we'll
- 3 identify those here as well if they're present.
- 4 Q If we jump to Page 31, can you summarize that
- 5 section?
- 6 A This is the improvement analysis section. So this
- 7 section describes all of the site improvements on the land.
- 8 So this would be any buildings that are constructed on the
- 9 site and also any site improvements such as parking lots,
- 10 landscaping, those things.
- 11 So this first table here that you have got, are showing
- 12 is highlighting the characteristics of the building that is
- 13 proposed to be constructed on the site. So again,
- 14 summarizes its expected size, unit mix, average unit size,
- 15 some important characteristics of the property, you know,
- 16 amenities of that property that are expected, what type of
- 17 parking it has, the year built, and total economic life, and
- 18 effective age, and those.
- 19 And then, below that would be a unit mix table that
- 20 identifies the anticipated unit mix and its as complete
- 21 condition.
- 22 Q If we were to jump to Page 36, what does that
- 23 section depict?
- 24 A This is the zoning section. So this simply
- 25 summarizes the zoning that encumbers the property and

Page 30

- 1 identifies what type of uses are permitted within that
- 2 zoning district. And then, we also offer an opinion on if
- 3 the proposed improvements are expected to conform with those
- 4 zoning requirements.
- 5 Q Jumping down to the Page 38, what is this section?
- 6 A This is the tax and assessment data section which
- 7 summarizes the property's either current and or projected
- 8 property assessment, and then its corresponding real estate
- 9 tax liability.
- 10 Q Going on to Page 40, what is this market analysis
- 11 section?
- 12 A So the market analysis section takes in a lot of
- 13 kind of macro, both macroeconomic information and then also
- 14 information that's specific to the local market. So this
- 15 first section is the macroeconomic section which is more on
- 16 kind of a national basis of what's happening nationally.
- 17 And then, we get down to more of a local analysis of
- 18 what's happening with the market segment of the subject. So
- 19 if you scroll down, I don't know, it's going to be a few
- 20 pages through this. This is all kind of the national
- 21 information. This just kind of gives a high-level overview
- 22 of what's going on in the economy. That's kind of the
- 23 intent of the macro.
- And then the -- and then it gets into the multifamily
- 25 market segment which is specific to -- this is the market

1 productivity. So we go through those four steps to identify

Page 32

Page 33

- 2 what is the use that is legally possible, physically
- 3 possible, the use that is financially feasible, and the use
- 4 that creates the -- that is the most productive use.
- 5 In other words, kind of the highest return to the land
- 6 in income. So we do that for the site and then we also do
- 7 it in the as-improved condition as well.
- 8 Q If we were to jump to Page 62, what is the land
- 9 value section?
- 10 A This section begins the analysis of comparable
- 11 land sales to -- that we use to value or come up with our
- 12 opinion of the underlying land value.
- 3 Q Jumping to Page 66, cost approach. Can you
- 14 summarize for the Court what's contained therein?
- 15 A Yep. So the cost approach is the section where we
- 16 estimate what is the cost to build the proposed site
- 17 improvements to the site. And we consider -- can consider
- 18 multiple sources here for this assignment. We considered
- 19 Marshall Valuation Service, which is a national cost service
- 20 estimation company that provides us data that we formulate
- 21 our own kind of independent opinion of what we think the
- 22 property will cost to build.
- 23 And then, we also typically get the developer's cost
- 24 estimate of what they expect the project to cost. And that
- 25 will be identified, I think, on the next page after this.

Page 31

- 1 segment that the subject -- one of the market segments that
- 2 the subject property is expected to compete in. It's the
- 3 primary market segment since most of the income is generated
- 4 by multifamily units.
- 5 So this particular section that you have got showing on
- 6 the screen is more of a national level analysis of the
- 7 multifamily market. And then, if you continue to scroll
- 8 down, we'll have more local multifamily data that's more
- 9 specific to the Watertown market.
- 10 Q Is all of that data informing your opinions
- 11 regarding valuations?
- 12 A Yes. We -- all of that information is considered
- 13 in our development of opinion of all of the opinions of
- 14 value.
- 15 Q If we were to jump to Page 60, what is the highest
- 16 and best use portion of this report?
- 17 A Yeah. So this section identifies what we think
- 18 that the highest and best use of the property is. And we're
- 19 supposed to look at it on an as-vacant basis and as as-
- 20 improved because they can be different depending upon the
- 21 circumstances.
- 22 So in this case, we start with the as vacant, we talk
- 23 about what's -- what are the legally permissible uses, what
- 24 are the uses that are also physically possible, and then we
- 25 get into kind of financial feasibility and maximum

- 1 Nope, that's the continuing with the Marshall valuation cost
- 2 estimate. So this section here, this summarizes the
- 3 budgeted construction cost.
- And then, we also for this assignment used cost
- 5 comparables. So other properties that were similar in
- 6 composition of the subject that we've appraised, and we have
- 7 cost information that's retained in file. We also consider
- 8 those and compare it to the developer's estimate.
- 9 So we -- after we look at all of those, we come down
- 10 and reconcile to a final cost estimate for what we think is
- 11 going to cost the property to -- or to build the property.
- 12 And then, we apply any applicable depreciation to that cost
- 13 estimate.
- 14 And then, the cost approach conclusion section
- 15 summarizes those calculations. So it will summarize what
- 16 our reconciled construction cost is, any applicable
- 17 depreciation, and then adds the land value to develop a
- 18 total value indicated by the cost approach.
- 19 Q So in the cost approach, if the estimate is that
- 20 the building is more expensive to build, what does that do
- 21 to the ultimate conclusion as to value, if anything?
- 22 A Well, ultimately, you know, the cost has to be
- 23 supported by the market. So we're -- and this is one reason
- 24 why we do different approaches to value. So the sales
- 25 approach and income approach are testing the financial

Document Page 10	0f 55
Page 34	Page 36
1 feasibility of the construction cost.	1 title of the property because we're not title insurance
2 So the cost can go higher, could go higher. That	2 companies. And so we have an assumption about, you know,
3 doesn't necessarily translate to value as it will depend	3 the fact that we're assuming good and marketable title, for
4 upon if those higher construction costs are supported by the	4 example. So these are kind of general assumptions that we
5 market in terms of either higher market rents or comparable	5 use for every excuse me appraisal report that we do.
6 sales are that market participants pay more for because	6 Q Now, sir, I don't want the Court to think that I
7 they cost more to build.	7 had no point of that. So are these sections that we just
8 Q So they're related, but there's not a direct	8 went over, are they the same from one report to the next?
9 correlation? Fair summary?	9 Are they consistent throughout? So I understand the numbers
10 A Yes. That's correct.	10 may change, but does the methodology and the source of data
11 Q If we were to go to the next page, Page 71, what	11 remain consistent from one report to the next?
12 is the sales comparison approach?	12 A Yes. They are consistent from one report to the
13 A The sales comparison approach summarizes the	13 next.
14 comparable sales we've selected to value the subject	14 Q So the cost analysis in this is going to be
15 property under this valuation technique. So we identify the	15 similar to or explanatory for the cost analysis in Reports
16 comparable sales. We put together an adjustment grid that	16 2, 3, and 4?
17 identifies the adjustments that we make to those sales and	17 A Yes. It would be if the cost approach was
18 then reconcile to a value conclusion based on those	18 completed.
19 comparable sales, and the adjustments that we make to them.	19 Q Okay. And the opinions that you have provided
20 Q On Page 77, there's a section titled income	20 both in this report and testified to in the court here
21 capitalization approach. What is that?	21 today, did you reach those opinions based on your training
22 A This section identifies the income characteristics	
	22 and experience in commercial real estate appraisal? 23 A Yes, sir.
23 of the property. So we look at the income characteristics	, and the second
24 of the property and translate that to value via the use of a	24 Q And did you reach those opinions to a reasonable
25 capitalization or an overall cap rate. So this section is	25 degree of certainty?
Page 35	Page 37
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement	Page 37
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized.	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates.	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates.	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97,	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA:
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section?	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir?
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document?
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated,
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value.	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed.	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99,	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3.
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99, 19 what is this assumptions and limiting conditions portion?	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3. 19 (Exhibits ECF 95-2 & ECF 95-3 were admitted)
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99, 19 what is this assumptions and limiting conditions portion? 20 A So these assumptions and limiting conditions, 21 these are standard assumptions that go in every appraisal	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3. 19 (Exhibits ECF 95-2 & ECF 95-3 were admitted 20 into evidence.)
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99, 19 what is this assumptions and limiting conditions portion? 20 A So these assumptions and limiting conditions, 21 these are standard assumptions that go in every appraisal 22 report that we do. And this just kind of identifies to the	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3. 19 (Exhibits ECF 95-2 & ECF 95-3 were admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MR. HUSHKA:
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99, 19 what is this assumptions and limiting conditions portion? 20 A So these assumptions and limiting conditions, 21 these are standard assumptions that go in every appraisal 22 report that we do. And this just kind of identifies to the	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3. 19 (Exhibits ECF 95-2 & ECF 95-3 were admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MR. HUSHKA: 22 Q All right. Sir, if we were to look at the bottom
Page 35 1 essentially developing our expected profit loss statement 2 for the subject property when it's stabilized. 3 And then, we convert that to an opinion of value 4 through market extracted capitalization rates. 5 Q If we were to go all the way down to Page 97, 6 what's this section? 7 A This is the reconciliation of value section. So 8 this section kind of summarizes the value indicated from 9 the values that are indicated or developed from each 10 valuation technique. So it just kind of recaptures or 11 summarizes all of the different value conclusions. And 12 then, we talk about which value or which valuation technique 13 we think is most applicable in order to reconcile our final 14 opinion of value. 15 So it just talks about how we come to that final 16 reconciled value with after looking at all of the three 17 valuation techniques that we completed. 18 Q And then, finally, if we were to jump to Page 99, 19 what is this assumptions and limiting conditions portion? 20 A So these assumptions and limiting conditions, 21 these are standard assumptions that go in every appraisal 22 report that we do. And this just kind of identifies to the 23 client that it gives them a better idea of the scope of work	Page 37 1 A Yes. 2 MR. HUSHKA: All right. I'd like to proceed to 3 the next report, ECF 95-2, if we could, please. I think 4 it's going to be a whole separate document. 5 BY MR. HUSHKA: 6 Q Do you recognize that document, sir? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q And what is that document? 9 A That is the appraisal we completed for Red River 10 State Bank in 2022. So that would be the second appraisal 11 that we did. 12 MR. HUSHKA: I believe this is already indicated, 13 but I guess we would offer the second appraisal report, ECF 14 95-2. 15 MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection to 95-2 or 95-3. 16 MR. HUSHKA: We'll offer 95-3 as well then, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: The court receives 95-2 and 95-3. 19 (Exhibits ECF 95-2 & ECF 95-3 were admitted 20 into evidence.) 21 BY MR. HUSHKA: 22 Q All right. Sir, if we were to look at the bottom 23 of Page 3, are there signatures there?

Page 38

- A The first signature is from Matthew Johnson. He's
- 2 a staff appraiser with CBRE. The second signature on the
- 3 right is Michael Moyna. He, at that time, was the regional
- 4 director of the Minneapolis area and is Matthew Johnson's
- 5 direct supervisor. And then, my signature is at the bottom
- 6 below those two.
- 7 Q And what did you certify by signing this document?
- 8 A I am agreeing to the -- you know, the results and
- 9 conclusions, taking responsibility for the report.
- 10 Q All right. If we were to scroll back up to the
- 11 second page of this, does this report provide an appraised
- 12 value for The Ruins development?
- 13 A Yes. It does.
- 14 Q Does it provide three in particular?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What are those three?
- 17 A The first is the as-is value conclusion, and that
- 18 was completed on July 12, 2022, and our value estimate or
- 19 opinion of value is \$6,980,000.
- The as-complete value was concluded at \$11,740,000 as
- 21 of the effective date of May 1, 2023. And then, the as-
- 22 stabilized value was concluded at \$12,410,000 as of the
- 23 effective date of September 1, 2023.
- Q Do you know what the status of the development of
- 25 The Ruins was in July of 2022?

- 1 sales that we research are stabilized. And when we're
- 2 developing our pro forma, we have good information about

Page 40

Page 41

- 3 what market rents will be and operating expenses and such.
- 4 And generally, we deduct the costs of -- lease-up costs
- 5 from the stabilized value to get to the as-complete value.
- 6 So I would say that the reason the as-complete value is
- 7 higher is also because the as-stabilized value is higher or
- 8 the lease-up costs anticipated with the development have
- 9 decreased or changed from the previous report. I am not
- 10 sure exactly which off the top of my head.
- 1 Q Okay. This report also contains a market value
- 12 conclusion regarding the net present value of TIF. Can you
- 13 explain that? That wasn't in the previous report.
- A Yeah. So a TIF is an acronym for tax increment
- 15 financing district. And occasionally, the clients will ask
- 16 us to provide a value of that cash flow. And so they didn't
- 17 request that the first appraisal. They did request it for
- 18 this assignment. So that's why it wasn't in the first one.
- 19 So it's strictly driven based on client need.
- 20 But the net present value of the TIF is an opinion of
- 21 the cash flow that is -- it's the cash flow that is either
- 22 created by the tax increment financing district or some type
- 23 of -- it may not be a cash flow. It may be, you know, an
- 24 upfront cash payment. It depends on how the TIF is
- 25 structured.

Page 39

- 1 A At that time, it was under construction.
- 2 Q Approximately how far along, if you have any idea,
- 3 or?
- 4 A Well, judging by the as-is value, you know, it was
- 5 probably about 50 percent along. I don't know for sure, but
- 6 that would be a rough estimate.
- 7 Q All right. Speaking of the as-is value, that went
- 8 up from 520,000 in May of 2021 to nearly 7 million in July
- 9 of 2022. Can you explain for me the cause of that change?
- 10 A Yeah. And that's primarily attributed to the fact
- 11 that now they have been constructing and improving the site
- 12 with the proposed improvements. So they have done a lot of
- 13 work and added a lot of infrastructure and improvements to
- 14 the property.
- 15 Q The as-complete value changed as well, going up to
- 16 11.7 million and change from 10.7 million. Can you explain
- 17 to me the cause of that change?
- 18 A So the as-is value, that is a value that is
- 19 developed after the as-stabilized value is developed.
- 20 Q The as-is or --
- 21 A We develop the --
- 22 Q -- the as-complete value?
- 23 A Excuse me. The as-complete value. So we develop
- 24 the as-stabilized value first, primarily because that is
- 25 where our best source of information is. The comparable

- But essentially, the tax increment financing district
- 2 is set up by the city and/or county. And then, they provide
- 3 some type of incentive or benefit to the developer to
- 4 incentivize them to develop the project. And that usually
- 5 creates a cash flow that has some value that can be assigned
- 6 or sold in the secondary market.
- 7 So we take that value or that cash flow and apply
- 8 present value to the -- discounting to the cash flows to
- 9 come up with a net present value for the client.
- 10 Q Do you remember how the TIF was set up as it
- 11 relates to The Ruins?
- 12 A Off the top of my head, I do not. Generally, I
- 13 believe there's a section in this report that should value
- 14 the TIF. Usually it is a -- I believe it's -- I don't know
- 15 if you can scroll to that section. There should be a
- 16 dedicated section for valuing the TIF. But if I recall, I
- 17 believe it's going to be, let's see here.
- 18 It's probably going to -- it doesn't look like it's in
- 19 the table of contents, but I am guessing it's probably near
- 20 the reconciliation section. So towards the end of the
- 21 report.
- 22 Q Page 59.
- A Scroll down a little. Yeah. It's going to
- 24 be a number of pages yet. We're still right in the middle
- 25 of the income approach.

Document Page 12	of 55
Page 42	Page 44
1 THE COURT: Can we do a word search?	1 Q When was this report created approximately?
2 THE WITNESS: So there's the reconciliation that	2 A Let's see. It would have been scroll up for me
3 might be if you could scroll down, it might be at the	3 October of 2023.
4 sometimes it's put in the there it is. Tax increment	4 Q What was the status of The Ruins development in
5 financing. So it's in the reconciliation section.	5 October of 2023?
6 BY MR. HUSHKA:	6 A It was still under construction at that time.
7 Q Okay. And that's	7 Q Does this page, if we scroll down slightly,
8 A So here	8 provide appraised value opinions as to The Ruins?
9 Q outlines how it was calculated in this case?	9 A Yes. It does.
10 A That's right. So the cash flow that we expected	10 Q Are those as-is, as-complete, and as-stabilized
11 that was generated from that TIF district is summarized and	11 the same categories as were provided in Reports 1 and 2?
12 then added up to a net present value here.	12 A Yes, sir.
13 Q Okay. We've just scrolled through some of them,	13 Q The value as-is of 9.9 million and change, can you
14 but does this report contain a number of similar sections to	14 provide for the increase from 6.9 million?
15 your prior report?	15 A I would assume that it's because they have gotten
16 A Yes. It does.	16 further along in the construction. Typically, that's what
17 Q All right. And similar methodology and data	17 it is a reflection of, that they have progressed further on
18 information?	18 the construction. So it's simply higher because of that.
19 A Yes, sir.	19 Q Okay. And then, if we were to go to you said
20 Q Anything in particular that you want to highlight	20 the as-complete is a somewhat product of as-stabilization.
21 for the Court in this report at this time?	21 So if we were to look at as-stabilization, the value was
22 A No.	22 12.41 million in July of '22, and is now back down to 11.46
23 Q Mr. Luther, the multiple opinions in this report	23 in October of '23. Can you explain that decrease?
24 and that you just testified to, did you reach those opinions	24 A Can you go to the executive summary for me? Okay.
25 utilizing your training and experience?	25 So scroll down a little bit. So the capitalization rate
	D 45
Page 43	
1 A Yes.	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a
 1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to
 1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower.
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves higher, values go lower. Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been accepted. 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves higher, values go lower. Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA:	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way?
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been accepted. BY MR. HUSHKA: Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves higher, values go lower. Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more artful way? A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been accepted. BY MR. HUSHKA: Q Sir, do you recognize this document? A Yes. I do. 	 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves higher, values go lower. Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more artful way? A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document?	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized
 A Yes. Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of certainty in your field? A Yes. MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been accepted. BY MR. HUSHKA: Q Sir, do you recognize this document? A Yes. I do. Q And what is this document? A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report.	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report.	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes.	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please?	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please? 19 A First signature is Matthew Johnson. He's a staff	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please?	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive 19 summaries from one report to the next? Do you hear me, Mr.
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please? 19 A First signature is Matthew Johnson. He's a staff 20 appraiser with CBRE. Second signature is Michael Moyna, who	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive 19 summaries from one report to the next? Do you hear me, Mr. 20 Luther?
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please? 19 A First signature is Matthew Johnson. He's a staff 20 appraiser with CBRE. Second signature is Michael Moyna, who 21 is the regional director in our Minneapolis office. And	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive 19 summaries from one report to the next? Do you hear me, Mr. 20 Luther? 21 A Oh, yes. No, I am sorry. I didn't realize you
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please? 19 A First signature is Matthew Johnson. He's a staff 20 appraiser with CBRE. Second signature is Michael Moyna, who 21 is the regional director in our Minneapolis office. And 22 then, the third signature is my signature.	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive 19 summaries from one report to the next? Do you hear me, Mr. 20 Luther? 21 A Oh, yes. No, I am sorry. I didn't realize you 22 were asking me. Yes. That would be reasonable to compare
1 A Yes. 2 Q And are you sure of them to a reasonable degree of 3 certainty in your field? 4 A Yes. 5 MR. HUSHKA: Could I have the Court pull up the 6 third appraisal report, ECF 95-3, which has also been 7 accepted. 8 BY MR. HUSHKA: 9 Q Sir, do you recognize this document? 10 A Yes. I do. 11 Q And what is this document? 12 A This is the appraisal report we prepared for Red 13 River State Bank in 2023. So this would be the third 14 report. 15 Q If we were to turn to the bottom of Page 3, are 16 there signatures? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Can you walk through those people quick, please? 19 A First signature is Matthew Johnson. He's a staff 20 appraiser with CBRE. Second signature is Michael Moyna, who 21 is the regional director in our Minneapolis office. And 22 then, the third signature is my signature. 23 Q And what did you attest to by signing this	1 looks like it, that that was higher, and that would be one 2 of the primary drivers of a decrease in value would be a 3 higher capitalization rate. The values are inverse to 4 capitalization rates. So when a capitalization rate moves 5 higher, values go lower. 6 Q And how is the capitalization rate calculated for 7 you? Or how do you calculate it, I guess maybe a more 8 artful way? 9 A Yeah. So they're extracted from the market, and 10 it's simply a ratio of income to value. So the mathematical 11 formula is taking the net operating income that's stabilized 12 and dividing it into the corresponding sale price. So it's 13 just a ratio. So we extract that from comparable sales to 14 help us convert our NOI to value when we do the income 15 approach. 16 Q So you asked to look at the executive summary to 17 answer my questions. Would it be fair that if the Court's 18 wanting to look at a quick summary, compare the executive 19 summaries from one report to the next? Do you hear me, Mr. 20 Luther? 21 A Oh, yes. No, I am sorry. I didn't realize you 22 were asking me. Yes. That would be reasonable to compare 23 the two.

Document Page 13	of 55
Page 46	Page 48
1 A No.	1 BY MR. HUSHKA:
2 Q And are the methodologies and data in this report	2 Q Do you see that, sir?
3 similar and consistent to the methodologies and data in	3 A Yes. I do.
4 Reports 1 and 2?	4 Q If we walk through this, will it help explain some
5 A Yes.	5 of the decrease in values for the three evaluations?
6 Q And did you reach the opinions in this report and	6 A Yeah. Scroll down just a touch. So first
7 that you testified to based on your training and experience?	7 MR. HUSHKA: But before we get into that, sir, can
8 A Yes.	8 I have the Court pull up, in a side-by-side comparison, the
9 Q And to a reasonable degree of certainty?	9 executive summary from 95-3, the third report? Four,
10 A Yes.	10 please. 95-3, number Part 4. That would be Page 8 of
11 MR. HUSHKA: All right. If I could finally have	11 this one. You have to pull the tab out from the address
12 the Court pull up ECF 59 beginning on Page 4.	12 bar, I think.
13 BY MR. HUSHKA:	13 BY MR. HUSHKA:
14 Q Do you recognize that document, sir?	14 Q All right. Sir, do you see your third report
15 A Yes. I do.	15 executive summary on the left and your fourth report
16 Q What is that document?	16 executive summary on the right?
17 A This is the appraisal report that I prepared for	17 A Yes. I do.
18 Red River State Bank in, let's see, it would have been,	18 Q All right. The first line or category is Property
19 scroll down here, July of 2025.	19 Name. Do you see that?
20 Q If we were to go to Page 6, is that your	20 A Yes. I do.
21 signature?	21 Q What is that?
22 A Yes. It is.	22 A The Ruins on the left, and then on the right is
23 Q And what did you attest to, if anything, by	23 The Ruins Apartments.
24 signing this report?	24 Q Any reason for the change, or what does the
25 A That I take responsibility for the assignment and	25 property name describe?
Page 47	Page 49
1 the contents therein.	1 A No. It's just our internal name that we give to
2 Q Is this the most recent report that you prepared	2 the property to help us remember what type of property we're
3 as it relates to The Ruins?	3 working on. So it's there was no material reason for
4 A Yes.	4 that difference.
5 Q If we were to go back up to Page 5, does that	5 Q Okay. Location, what does that describe, the next
6 provide your most recent opinions as to the value of The	6 one down?
7 Ruins?	7 A Location is typically the physical mailing address
8 A Yes. 9 O All right. What is your opinion as to the as-is	8 that's assigned to the property.
9 Q All right. What is your opinion as to the as-is 10 value of The Ruins?	9 Q Did that remain the same from Reports 3 to 4? 10 A Yes.
11 A The as-is opinion of value was estimated at or 12 concluded at \$4,520,000 as of May 6, 2025.	11 Q Next category is Parcel Numbers. What does that 12 describe?
13 Q Can you explain the significant decrease down from	13 A That's the tax identification number that's
14 9.9?	14 assigned by the county to the subject property.
15 A Yes. So again, the as-is value is developed 16 similar to the as-complete value where we're we develop	15 Q And did that remain the same? 16 A Yes. That remained the same.
17 the or excuse me, the as-stabilized value gets developed	17 Q The next category is Client. What is that
18 first. We deduct the lease-up costs and costs associated	18 category?
19 with stabilization from the as-stabilized value to get to	19 A That identifies the client for each assignment,
20 the as-complete value.	20 and it happens to be the same for both, Red River State
21 And then, from the as-complete value, we're	21 Bank.
22 deducting whatever costs there are to finish construction	22 Q And highest and best use, what does that describe?
23 completion to arrive at the as-is value.	23 A Summarizes our opinion of what the highest and
24 MR. HUSHKA: If I can have the Court jump to Page	24 best use is for the property in its as-vacant condition and
in the country and to rage	T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
25 13. The executive summary.	25 also as-improved.

Page 52	Document Page 14	of 55
2 exposure time, what does that describe? 3 A So the exposure time in the amount of time that we 4 expect it to be required to be on the market for a sale to 5 happen. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is – I will just remember here, look 7 of value, and marketing time – I will just remember here, look 10 mg, very more anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't – I me HE WITNESS: Oh. 13 aren't looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR, HUSHKA: How the side of the most of the William of the work of the will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 20 kay? 23 realize tim. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay, I apologize, I didn't 23 realize tim. 25 Will will pust perture and the property. 25 A Yes. I do. 26 William is less rentable area. 27 or with the property. 28 Q So again, all things being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building, 21 less being equal, in word in your able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building, 21 less height to the publiding of the publiding in the fount	Page 50	Page 52
3 A So the exposure time is the amount of time that we 4 expect it to be required to be on the market for a sale to 5 Jappae. Exposure time is prior to the effective date 7 of value, and marketing time.— 8 MR VERSTANDIG: flold on. Objection. I don't 9 know what the witness is looking at. 10 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't either. 11 MR, HUSHKA: I don't either. So, Mr. Luther, if 12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't — 14 THE WITNESS: Ob. 15 MR, HUSHKA:— looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Ob. 17 MR, VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now not no thate sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is sax months while one is six to twelve months. Cun 3 you explain any significence of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we fee just formulation? 16 A Yes. On a bigger building, all else being equal, are you able to 17 with the property. 18 Q And if you charge rent on a square-footage basis, 15 what would that do? 18 A It descreases the total rent that you can achieve 19 charges and produce greater income on a bigger building. 20 A Yes. On a bigger building, all else being equal, 21 you're typically alle to charge for more income, 22 once is ask months while one is six to twelve months. Cun 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 2 A Generally, and the same time. 3 (1) Q Mit with the property of the object of the prior time in the 3 of the wortes to look at the average unit size line 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 3 one typically alle to charge for more income, 4 of were the propery to the other. 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take.	1 Q All right. If we were to jump down to estimated	1 a wheel when I was there during my on-site inspection.
4 expect it to be required to he on the market for a vale to 5 happen. Exposure time is — I will just member here, look 6 at my notes. Exposure time is — I will just member here, look 7 of value, and marketing time — 8 MR_VERSTANDIC: Hold on, Objection, I don't 9 know what the witness is looking at. 10 THE COURT: Yeath. I don't either. 11 MR_HUSHKA: I don't either. 11 MR_HUSHKA: I don't either. 12 you can tum over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't — 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR_HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR_VERSTANDIC: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay, Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR_HUSHKA: 26 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 27 THE WITNESS: Oh. 28 on the will just invite you 29 ontes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 20 Okay? 20 THE WITNESS: Olay. I apologize. I didn't 21 course income. 21 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 22 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 23 you explain any significance of that, if any? 24 A Yes. I do. 25 DY MR_HUSHKA: 26 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 27 THE WITNESS: Oh. 28 Okagain, all things being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building. 29 C A Yes. I do. 20 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 21 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 23 you explain any significance of that, if any? 24 A Yes. I do. 25 DY MR_HUSHKA: 26 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 27 I Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 28 Okagain, all things being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building. 29 C A Yes. I do. 20 Q Wall differ from one rep	2 exposure time, what does that describe?	2 Q So am I reading this report correctly that the
5	3 A So the exposure time is the amount of time that we	3 building was built smaller than the initial plans that you
6 M my notes. Exposure time is prior to the effective date 7 of value, and marketing time - 8 MR VERSTANDIG flold on. Objection. I don't 9 know what the witness is looking at. 10 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't ether. 11 MR, HUSHKA: — I one fire the same time. 12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't - 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR, HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR, VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits ousside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 26 realize that. 27 MR, USHKA: 28 Okay is anything with we were to compare the two reports. 29 one is a six months while one is six to tweeke months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that if any? 4 A Generally, all else being equal, a smaller 19 building is less valuable. 10 Q Why is that? 11 Well, it cannot — it produces generally less 11 a will describe any a similar of will a five or hard of the cereases the total rent that you can achieve 11 Q And if you charge rent on a square-footage basis, what would that do? 11 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports. 11 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports. 12 Q and the account will report to the other? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 Q A Yes. 16 A It decreases the total rent that you can achieve of heart of the most recent report. 18 Q So again, all things being equal, are you able to charge and produce greater income on a bigger building, at the produce greater income on a bigger building, at the produce greater income on a bigger building and less being equal. If you're typically able to charge for more income, generate 2 more income. 21 Q All right.	4 expect it to be required to be on the market for a sale to	4 reviewed?
7 of value, and marketing time – 8 MR. VERSTANDIG: Hold on. Objection. I don't 9 know what he wimes is tokoking at. 10 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't either. 11 MK. HUSHKA: I don't either. So, Mr. Luther, if 12 your can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't – 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. 17 MK. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or estibitis outside from those displayed by the Court. 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or estibitis outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 23 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: — Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports. 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we'll est produces generally less 1 in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really is inf much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 15 Want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 With the property of the third report? 18 A Yes. I do. 19 Q What effect? 10 A All right. If we were to compare the two reports. 4 recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 realculations? 18 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 24 A Yes. 1 do. 19 Q What effect? 10 A All right is the subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 10 A I right was not begin	5 happen. Exposure time is I will just remember here, look	5 A Yes.
8 MR. VERSTANDIG: Hold on. Objection. I don't 9 know what the witness is looking at. 10 THE COURT: Yesh. I don't either. 11 MR. HUSHKA: I don't either. So, Mr. Luther, if 12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't — 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Very No problem. 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yesh. I swill just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or esthibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yesh. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: — THE COURT: Yesh. Not everybody does. Thank you. 26 A MR. HUSHKA: — THE COURT: Yesh. Not everybody does. Thank you. 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 28 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 20 A Yes. On a bigger building, all else being equal, are you able to 21 Colay? — THE COURT: Yesh. Not everybody does. Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. — THE COURT: Yesh. Not everybody does. Thank you. 24 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 Opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. — The Court of the point with gross building area. Do you see that? 1	6 at my notes. Exposure time is prior to the effective date	
9 know what the witness is looking at.	7 of value, and marketing time	
10 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't either. 11 MK. HUSHKA: I don't either, Sr, Mr. Luther, if 12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't— 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. 17 MK. YESTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 27 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 28 one is six months white one is six to revelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 29 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 30 other. 31 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 40 Generally, we're just forming—giving our 50 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 60 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 10 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. Ido. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so 1 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. Ido. 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And dayan, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 29 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 20 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Wewere provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report can produce has	8 MR. VERSTANDIG: Hold on. Objection. I don't	
11 MR. HUSHKA: I don't either. So, Mr. Luther, if 12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't locking at anything that we aren't 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR. VERSTANIDIC: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Veah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, were just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express if in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really is not much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Ves. I do. 17 With the property. 18 Q So again, all things being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building.? 20 A Yes. On a bigger building, all else being equal. 21 you're typically able to charge for more income, generate 22 more income. 23 Q If we were to look at the average unit size line 24 item, do you see that? 25 A Yes. I do. Page 53 1 Q Does that differ from one report to the other? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. I do. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All esse being equal, it would dec	9 know what the witness is looking at.	
12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you 13 aren't looking at anything that we aren't - 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: - looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 27 So pink in yaisgnificance of that, if any? 28 A Generally, we're just forming giving our 29 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 28 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want would that do? 16 A It decreases the total rent that you can achieve with the property. 17 with the property. 18 Q So again, all things being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building? 20 A Yes. On a bigger building, all else being equal, are you able to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building? 21 you're typically able to charge for more income, generate 22 more income. 22 A Yes. 10. 23 Q If we were to look at the average unit size line 24 item, do you see that? 25 A Yes. I do. 26 A Yes. I do. 27 A Yes. I do. 28 A Yes. I do. 29 Q What effect? 20 A Yes. I do. 30 Q How is it? Is ibigger or smaller for the most recent report. 31 A Yes. I do. 41 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 19 charge and produce greater income on a bigger building? 29 A Yes. I do. 30 Q What difference between those two. You just have a r	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't either.	10 Q Why is that?
13 Jess rentable area. 14 THE WITNESS: Oh. 15 MR. HUSHKA: —looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 11 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 12 mynovements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Wewer provided different architectural drawings 23 If or the most recent report and the property can produce greater income on a bigger building. 21 pour texpically able to charge equal, are you able to 23 Q If we were to look at the average unit size line 24 item, do you see that? 25 A Yes. I do. Page 53 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 2 A Yes. It would. 3 Q How fire the calculations? 3 A Yes. I would decrease. 3 Q If we were to wount and a single point in time in the 3 difference between	11 MR. HUSHKA: I don't either. So, Mr. Luther, if	
THE WITNESS: Oh. MR. HUSHKA: — looking at the same time. THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't realize that. THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't realize that. A R. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. What would that do? Realize that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't realize that. What would that do? Realize that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't realize that. What were to look at the average unit size line Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports. A Generally, we're just forming — giving our opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we de express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a realize point. And in this case, there really isn't much difference between those two. You just have a range ge expressed in one report and a single point in time in the other. Q All right. If we were to jump down to I mprovements, do you see that category? I my what would that have an effect on your valuation recent report? A R It simple point. And in this case, there really isn't much do where. Q What if the property. A Pes. I do. What "Gerear that that you can achieve 14 A It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 15 what would that do? A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 16 A R It decreases the total rent that you can achieve 17 with the property. 18 Q So again, all thin the vere to look at the average mit size line 2	12 you can turn over anything you have on your desk so you	
15 MR. HUSHKA:looking at the same time. 16 THE WITNESS: Yep. No problem. 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports. 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I most recent report can produce has		
16		
17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 10 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. Ido. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. Ido. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 Q How so? 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 25 A Yes. 26 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 27 A Yes. Ido. 28 Q If were to look at the average unit size line 29 A Yes. 20 Q What did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 25 A Yes. 26 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 27 A That was developed based on the architectural drawings provided to us for that assignment. 28 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 29 A Yes. 20 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 20		
18 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I will just invite you 19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BYMR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 27 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 28 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 29 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming – giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 10 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Yes. 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 where provided different architectural drawings 27 calculations? 28 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 26 A Yes. 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. I would. 29 Q Why? 21 A We were provided different architectural drawings provided to us for that assignment. 29 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 20 of square foctore greate to lone at the average unit size line 22 increme. 29 A Yes. 20 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 20 of squ		
19 from now on to make sure that you're not looking at any 20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming —giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Yes. I and produce greater income on a bigger building; 22 you're typically able to charge equal, 2 you're typically able to charge for more income, generate 22 more income. 23 Q If we were to look at the average unit size line 24 item, do you see that? 24 item, do you see that? 25 A Yes. I do. Page 51 Q Does that differ from one report to the other? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 Laprovements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. Ido. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 get the unit size for the most recent report and a forwings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square foot	-	
20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court. 21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 A Yes. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Yes. 23 Gr the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with		
21 Okay? 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. I didn't 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we expresse that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 the veere to look at the average unit size line 26 it we, do you see that? 27 A Yes. I do. Page 51 Page 53 1 Q Boes that differ from one report to the other? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 fo		
22 more income. 23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 25 A Yes. I do. Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 11 Improvements, do you see that category? 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 a Yes. 25 A Yes. I do. 25 Does that differ from one report to the other? 26 A Yes. 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. 39 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 40 Pewis it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 41 recent report. 41 Q Whow is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 42 recent report. 43 Q What effect? 44 A I else being equal, it would decrease. 45 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 46 Q Wore there most recent report in the fourth report compared to the hird report? 47 A That was developed based on the architectural drawings provided to us for that assignment. 48 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 49 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 40 A Yes. 41 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 41 Q How so? 42 A Yes. 43 Q How so? 44 A The property has less rentable	20 notes or exhibits outside from those displayed by the Court.	
23 realize that. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: 26 Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 10 A Yes. 21 Q Owhy did you believe that the building is smaller 11 I was believed to be in the third report? 12 In the fourth report compared to the third? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 15 A Yes. I do. 16 Ley being equal, it would decrease. 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I do. 26 If we were to look at the average unit size line 24 item, do you see that? 25 A Yes. I do. 1 Q Does that differ from one report to the other? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior repor		
24 THE COURT: Yeah. Not everybody does. Thank you. 25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming - giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I do. 26 Identified from one report to the other? 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 30 Q Bow shat differ from one report to the other? 4 recent report? 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for th		
25 BY MR. HUSHKA: Page 51 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report to the other? 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I do. Page 53 1 Q Does that differ from one report to the other? 2 A Yes. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less re		_
Page 51 1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming — giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with		-
1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports, 2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report to the other? 24 A Yes. 25 A Pes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 A Step it being equal, it would decrease. 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. It would. 29 Q What effect? 30 Q Why? 31 A Pes. Ido. 31 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report. 4 recent report. 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Ye	25 BY MR. HUSHKA:	25 A Yes. I do.
2 one is six months while one is six to twelve months. Can 3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming – giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 2 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with	Page 51	
3 you explain any significance of that, if any? 4 A Generally, we're just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 3 Q How is it? Is it bigger or smaller for the most 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has	1 Q All right. If we were to compare the two reports,	1 Q Does that differ from one report to the other?
4 R Generally, we're just forming giving our 5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 4 recent report? 5 A It is smaller for the most recent report. 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
5 opinion of how much time we expect it to take. Sometimes we 6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 27 calculations? 28 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
6 express that in a range and sometimes we express it in a 7 single point. And in this case, there really isn't much 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 6 Q Would that have an effect on your valuation 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
7 calculations? 8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 7 calculations? 8 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		-
8 difference between those two. You just have a range 9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 28 A Yes. It would. 9 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
9 expressed in one report and a single point in time in the 10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 29 Q What effect? 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25		
10 other. 11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 10 A All else being equal, it would decrease. 11 Q Why? 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A Yes. 25 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 26 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with		
11 Q All right. If we were to jump down to 12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 21 In A That was developed based on the architectural 22 A We were provided to us for that assignment. 23 Q How so? 24 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 25 rent, so your total income that the propers 26 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with		
12 Improvements, do you see that category? 13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 12 A The apartment units are smaller, therefore, gross 13 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
13 A Yes. I do. 14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 21 monthly income would go down, again, all else being equal. 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
14 Q The first three subcategories are the same, so I 15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 14 Q And again, similar question to before, how did you 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
15 want to begin with gross building area. Do you see that? 16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 10 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 15 get the unit size for the most recent report as opposed to 16 the prior reports? 17 A That was developed based on the architectural 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
16 A Yes. I do. 17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 the prior reports? 27 A That was developed based on the architectural 28 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 29 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
17 Q To be blunt, is the building smaller in the fourth 18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 10 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 A That was developed based on the architectural 27 A That was developed based on the architectural 28 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 29 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
18 report than it was believed to be in the third report? 19 A Yes. 10 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 18 drawings provided to us for that assignment. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
19 A Yes. 19 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 29 Q And then, bottom line, does losing over 8 percent 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
20 Q Why did you believe that the building is smaller 21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 20 of square footage per residential unit affect your valuation 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
21 in the fourth report compared to the third? 22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 21 for the development? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How so? 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
22 A We were provided different architectural drawings 23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 27 A Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 A Yes. 20 A Yes. 21 A The property has less rentable area to charge 22 D Yes. 23 D Yes. 24 A The property has less rentable area to charge 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
23 for the most recent report. 24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 28 Q How so? 29 A The property has less rentable area to charge to the property can produce has		
24 Q Were there measurements taken as well? 25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 26 27 The property has less rentable area to charge 27 28 The property has less rentable area to charge 28 29 Tent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
25 A Yes. I measured the exterior of the building with 25 rent, so your total income that the property can produce has		
	11 160. I mediated the exterior of the building with	

Document Page 15	of 55
Page 54	Page 56
1 decreased.	1 most recent report?
2 Q Focusing next on the financial indicators	2 A Based on current market conditions from multiple
3 category, you have a subcategory called stabilized	3 data sources at the time.
4 occupancy. What does that describe?	4 Q Can you describe those market conditions and/or
5 A That is the occupancy that the property achieves	5 data sources?
6 to be considered, you know, stabilized or equivalent to what	6 A Excuse me. So we've got CoStar analytic
7 the market around it is experiencing.	7 information that is in the report and also demographic
8 Q It was 96 percent in the prior report and is now	8 analysis that we look at. So I believe in the report on the
9 down to 93.5. Can you explain for me that difference?	9 right well, in general, those are the things that we're
10 A Yeah. And that's generally because there had been	10 looking at in determining the lease-up period.
11 some softening in occupancy between the third assignment on	11 Q Next section is pro forma operating data. What is
12 the left and the fourth assignment on the right.	12 that?
13 Q What does the softening of the market do to	13 A That is our projection of the stabilized income
14 valuation?	14 characteristics of the property.
15 A It is a all else being equal, decreases the	15 Q The first subcategory, effective gross income.
16 value.	16 Can you expound on that?
17 Q Why is that?	17 A So the effective gross income is the income that's
18 A In this case, when occupancy declines, there is	18 expected to be collected by the property after vacancy and
19 less revenue. So again, all else being equal, less revenue	19 credit loss happens. So this is the actual income that they
20 is results in a lower value.	20 are able to generate. With stabilized occupancy.
21 Q There's a line item called overall capitalization	21 Q And how is that calculated for the most recent
22 rate. Do you see that one, sir?	22 report?
23 A Yes.	23 A It is well, we start with estimating potential
24 Q What is that?	24 gross income for all rent areas of rentable areas of the
25 A That is the property our estimate of what the	25 property. And then, we deduct vacancy and credit loss to
Page 55	Page 57
Page 55 1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property	
	Page 57
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income.
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior
capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is developed based on market-extracted information and estimated for the subject property.	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report?
capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is developed based on market-extracted information and estimated for the subject property. Q And I believe you testified prior that the number	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes.
capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is developed based on market-extracted information and estimated for the subject property. Q And I believe you testified prior that the number is inversely related to the valuation.	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation?
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value.
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please?	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value?
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report?
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes.
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down.	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up 20 period. What is that line?	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes 20 it less valuable, all else being equal.
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up 20 period. What is that line? 21 A That is our estimation of how much time it would	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes 20 it less valuable, all else being equal. 21 Q The next line item is operating expenses. Do you
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up 20 period. What is that line? 21 A That is our estimation of how much time it would 22 take to stabilize the property. So basically, it's how much	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes 20 it less valuable, all else being equal. 21 Q The next line item is operating expenses. Do you 22 see that?
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up 20 period. What is that line? 21 A That is our estimation of how much time it would 22 take to stabilize the property. So basically, it's how much 23 time from construction completion to when it achieves the	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes 20 it less valuable, all else being equal. 21 Q The next line item is operating expenses. Do you 22 see that? 23 A Yes.
1 capitalization rate would be applied to the subject property 2 if exposed to the market. And the overall capitalization 3 rate is a ratio of income to value. So that number is 4 developed based on market-extracted information and 5 estimated for the subject property. 6 Q And I believe you testified prior that the number 7 is inversely related to the valuation. 8 A That is correct. So in this case 9 Q I was going to say, can you expand on that a 10 little, please? 11 A Sure. Yeah. So in this case, the appraisal on 12 the left, the third appraisal, the capitalization rate was 13 lower. When you apply a lower capitalization rate to the 14 same income, it results in a higher indication of value. So 15 on the appraisal to the right, having the capitalization 16 rate increase, again, all else being equal, when you apply a 17 higher cap rate to the same income, value is affected 18 negatively or goes down. 19 Q I skipped over a line item, estimated lease-up 20 period. What is that line? 21 A That is our estimation of how much time it would 22 take to stabilize the property. So basically, it's how much	Page 57 1 get to effective gross income. 2 Q Was that methodology the same as in the prior 3 report? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Same as in 1, 2, and 3? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Does that affect the ultimate valuation? 8 A Yes. On an income-producing property, the income 9 characteristics affect the value. 10 Q And how does the effective gross income affect the 11 value? 12 A In this, I guess maybe clarify, you're talking 13 about the most recent report? 14 Q Yes. 15 A Yeah. So that's just a summary of the of what 16 we think that the total income the property can produce 17 after occupancy. So you know the higher that effective 18 gross income is, the more income is available to makes the 19 property more valuable. And the lower that number is makes 20 it less valuable, all else being equal. 21 Q The next line item is operating expenses. Do you 22 see that?

Document Page 16	01 55
Page 58	Page 60
1 income approach in the report.	1 a calculation made for as-completed or is that not done
2 Q Property insurance?	2 until an as-stabilized income?
3 A Yes. Property insurance.	3 A That shows the as-stabilized income.
4 Q Utilities?	4 Q So that 41,000 per month would not be captured
5 A Yes.	5 until, in your estimation, two years after completion of
6 Q Repairs and maintenance?	6 this project?
7 A Yes.	7 A Correct.
8 Q Management fees?	8 Q And during that interim 24 months, would the
9 A Yes.	9 property be expected to be making more or less than \$41,000
10 Q Payroll?	10 a month?
11 A Yes.	11 A Prior to the as-stabilized effective date, it
12 Q Advertising?	12 would be generating something less. Depends on where in the
13 A Yes.	13 time continuum it is.
14 Q Reserves?	14 Q Turning now to the valuations here in your most
15 A Yes.	15 recent report, you provide two valuations for as-is. Do you
16 Q What about debt service?	16 see those?
17 A No.	17 A Yes. I do.
18 Q What about payments to builders that haven't been	18 Q What are those two different approaches?
19 paid out yet?	19 A The sales comparison approach and income
20 A No.	20 capitalization approach.
21 Q Any payments to the WDC included in there?	21 Q How did you decide to ultimately use the income
22 A No.	22 approach in valuing The Ruins?
23 Q Any payments to whatever state bank included in	23 A Well, multifamily or mixed-use properties like the
24 there?	24 subject all have tenants. And the once-stabilized, your
25 A No.	25 primary buyer is going to be an investor. And investors are
Page 59	Page 61
1 Q The net operating income line, is that a product	1 heavily concerned with the income characteristics of the
2 of the gross income minus operating expenses?	2 property and the cash flow it generates in determining or
3 A Yes. Effective gross income less operating	3 formulating their opinion of value, and what they're willing
4 expenses equals net operating income.	4 to pay.
5 Q Now, is that expressed as a monthly calculation or	5 Q You also provide two valuations for a as-complete
6 an annual calculation in your report?	6 value.
7 A These figures that we're looking at here are	7 A Yes. That's correct.
8 expressed on an annual basis.	8 Q What are those two approaches?
9 Q If I were	9 A The sales comparison approach and income approach.
, , ,	
11 did you say annual? I didn't hear.	11 with the income approach for as-complete?
12 THE WITNESS: Yes. They're expressed on an annual	12 A Same answer. Typical buyer is going to place most
13 basis.	13 weight on the income approach.
THE COURT: Annual. Thank you.	14 Q Are there also two valuations for the stabilized
15 THE WITNESS: On this particular table that is	15 value?
16 showing in the report on the right-hand side.	16 A Yes.
17 BY MR. HUSHKA:	17 Q What were those two approaches?
18 Q So that \$495,060 is approximately \$41,000 and	18 A Sales comparison approach and income approach.
19 change per month?	19 Q Which one do you believe provided a more accurate
20 A I would assume so. I can't do math in my head	20 valuation for The Ruins?
21 that quick, but it sounds right.	21 A The income approach.
22 Q And so that would be effective \$41,000 left for	22 Q Why is that?
23 The Ruins to pay any debt services needed?	23 A Again, because I believe the most likely buyer is
24 A Yeah. Yes.	24 an investor who's going to give primary weight to its income
25 Q Is that number, the net operating income, is that	25 characteristics.

Document Page 17	of 55	
Page 62	Page 64	
1 Q Now, sir, I don't know if we'll have to go through	1 report?	
2 this all, but assuming that we won't here momentarily, are	2 A Yes. This would be the map of where they're	
3 there any sections of this report that you believe should be	3 located approximately.	
4 highlighted for the Court that you want to go over at this	4 Q Okay. And if, and I am not asking the clerk to do	
5 time?	5 so at the moment, if the clerk were to scroll down, the next	
6 A No.	6 several pages would be data sets that correlate to each of	
7 Q Mr. Luther, the multiple opinions that we just	7 those, correct?	
8 discussed with respect to this report, did you reach those	8 A Yes. That's correct.	
9 opinions utilizing your training and experience?	9 MR. VERSTANDIG: And I think actually, Madam	
10 A Yes.	10 Clerk, if you could scroll right back up to that page.	
11 Q And did you reach those to a reasonable degree of	11 Perfect. Down one. I am sorry.	
12 certainty?	12 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	
13 A Yes.	13 Q These would be the eight comparable properties you	
MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, at this time, we would	14 used, correct?	
15 offer Exhibit ECF 59, pages 4 through 170, the most recent	15 A Yes.	
16 appraisal report.	16 Q And these are sales from December of '23 through	
17 MR. VERSTANDIG: Not an objection.	17 March of '25.	
18 THE COURT: No objection?	18 A Yes.	
MR. VERSTANDIG: No objection, Your Honor.	19 Q Nebraska, Iowa, Minnesota, and South Dakota,	
20 THE COURT: The Court receives Exhibit 59, pages 4	20 correct?	
21 through 170.	21 A Yes. That looks right.	
22 (Exhibit ECF 59 was admitted	22 Q Good. Now, none of these are from Watertown,	
23 into evidence.)	23 South Dakota.	
24 MR. HUSHKA: No further questions at this time, 25 Your Honor.	24 A Correct. 25 Q Okay. When was the last sale of a mixed-use	
	- ,	
Page 63	Page 65	
1 THE COURT: Okay. I would like to take just a 2 how about 10 minutes before we hear from cross examination.	1 apartment building in Watertown, South Dakota?	
	2 A One that's comparable to the subject or any? 3 O Any of which you're aware.	
3 So how about we just resume at 4:40? 4 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor.	3 Q Any of which you're aware. 4 A I am not sure how to answer your question. Off	
5 CLERK: All rise.	5 the top of my head, I don't know.	
6 (Off the record.)	6 Q Okay.	
7 THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record with	7 A I do know that I researched to see if there was	
8 bankruptcy Case Number 25-30004 of The Ruins. And when we	8 anything recent that would be comparable and nothing was	
9 broke, we were getting ready for cross examination. So Mr.	9 recent. So within that timeframe of the comparable sales	
10 VerStandig?	10 that we selected, I didn't identify anything recent in	
11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor.	11 Watertown.	
12 CROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER	12 Q Are you familiar with a property called The Lofts?	
13 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	13 A Yes. I believe that's in the report. I believe	
14 Q Mr. Luther, what is a comparable sale?	14 it's in the report as a rent comparable. It would be a I	
15 A It's a property that would be considered similar	15 don't know if you need to see it, but I believe I used it as	
16 to the property that we're appraising that has sold in the	16 a rent comparable in the report. So a summary of that	
17 marketplace.	17 property is in the report.	
18 MR. VERSTANDIG: Madam Clerk, could we please go	18 It's another project downtown Watertown.	
19 to keeping both of them up side by side, because we're	19 Q Why did you not use it as a sales comparable?	
20 going to reference both, but could we please go to Page 61	20 A I was not aware that it sold.	
	I .	
21 of Docket Entry 59? And could you kindly scroll just a	21 Q It sold in March of 2024, didn't it?	
21 of Docket Entry 59? And could you kindly scroll just a 22 little bit? Perfect.	21 Q It sold in March of 2024, didn't it? 22 A If you say so. I was not aware of it.	
22 little bit? Perfect.	22 A If you say so. I was not aware of it.	
22 little bit? Perfect. 23 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	22 A If you say so. I was not aware of it. 23 Q Okay. Would The Lofts be a good comparable if it	

Document Page 18	01 55
Page 66	Page 68
1 Q Okay. Your latest appraisal removes the TIF	1 for this assignment and they don't need it, so.
2 valuation. Why is that?	2 Q You had already valued the TIF in this case. So
3 A We weren't asked to provide it, so	3 presumably it wouldn't have been a ton more work to include
4 Q Now	4 it in your latest appraisal, right?
5 A we don't do it if we're not asked.	5 A I suppose that's true. Yes.
6 Q Previously, and we can pull this up if you'd like,	6 Q By the way, your first three appraisals each have
7 I believe the TIF had been valued at \$1.8 million in your	7 three signatures. It's your signature and those of two
8 2022 appraisal. Does that sound accurate to you?	8 colleagues of yours, correct?
9 A I believe so.	9 A That's correct.
10 Q Okay. Why would you exclude an asset component	10 Q And your latest appraisal's just your signature,
11 with a value of \$1.8 million?	11 right?
12 A It's run separate from the real estate or can be	12 A Yes.
13 separated so, and it's a wasting asset. So it's different	13 Q Why is that?
14 than the real estate component. And so typically, when	14 A So the last or the most recent appraisal was one
15 we're doing an assignment for properties that are in a TIF,	15 that I prepared and did exclusively on my own. And the
16 we go off of client instructions on if they want to include	16 previous reports were completed with the help of the signing
17 it or not.	17 appraisers. So if there's duties that are assigned to other
18 In this case, it was not required for us to include it	18 appraisers that are licensed, that they will sign the report
19 in the report.	19 to take responsibility for that.
20 Q So to be clear, the bank asked for it to be	20 So it's simply a matter of this most recent
21 included in 2022, correct?	21 report. I just did completely on my own without the aid of
22 A Correct.	22 other internal staff appraisers here in our company.
23 Q And the bank asked for it not to be included in	23 MR. VERSTANDIG: Madam Clerk, on the left side of
24 your most recent report, correct?	24 the screen, could we please go to Page 8? And on the right
25 A To the best of my knowledge. Yes.	25 side of the screen, could we please go to Page 13? And
Page 67	Page 69
1 Q Okay	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward
2 A That's correct.	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page
 2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right?	 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page Thank you. BY MR. VERSTANDIG:
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold	 1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party.	 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 13. Thank you. BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. Luther?
 2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 	 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 13. Thank you. BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. Luther? A Yes. I do.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property?	 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 13. Thank you. BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. Luther? A Yes. I do. Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't.	 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 13. Thank you. BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. Luther? A Yes. I do. Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 percent?
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know.	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span.	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do.	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do.	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig?
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before?	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or 19 excluded it based on, you know, at the time. Generally,	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't 19 a specific identification of the cause that invited a 2.5
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or 19 excluded it based on, you know, at the time. Generally, 20 when I am quoting an appraisal, somebody comes and asks us	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't 19 a specific identification of the cause that invited a 2.5 20 percent drop in the projection for stabilized occupancy.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or 19 excluded it based on, you know, at the time. Generally, 20 when I am quoting an appraisal, somebody comes and asks us 21 what we want or what our fee is to do an appraisal. If I	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't 19 a specific identification of the cause that invited a 2.5 20 percent drop in the projection for stabilized occupancy. 21 THE COURT: I am going to allow the witness to
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or 19 excluded it based on, you know, at the time. Generally, 20 when I am quoting an appraisal, somebody comes and asks us 21 what we want or what our fee is to do an appraisal. If I	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't 19 a specific identification of the cause that invited a 2.5 20 percent drop in the projection for stabilized occupancy. 21 THE COURT: I am going to allow the witness to 22 answer again or embellish either way.
2 A That's correct. 3 Q You said it can be separated from the property, 4 right? 5 A Typically, the cash flows can be assigned and sold 6 to a third party. 7 Q Do you have any reason to believe the TIF in this 8 case has been separated from the property? 9 A I don't have any reason to believe it is or isn't. 10 I don't know. 11 Q How often do you perform four appraisals of a 12 single asset in a four-year time span. 13 A Not real often. I mean it happens, but it's not 14 the bulk of the work that we do. 15 Q Have you ever had a client ask you to include a 16 TIF in one appraisal and then remove it from a subsequent 17 appraisal before? 18 A Usually. Yeah. I mean we've included it or 19 excluded it based on, you know, at the time. Generally, 20 when I am quoting an appraisal, somebody comes and asks us 21 what we want or what our fee is to do an appraisal. If I 22 know that they want us to value the TIF, that's more work 23 for me to value the TIF. And so I bid differently based on	1 could you scroll down a little bit on both of them toward 2 the bottom of the pages? Up a little bit, I am sorry, Page 3 13. Thank you. 4 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 5 Q Now, you see the stabilized occupancy rate, Mr. 6 Luther? 7 A Yes. I do. 8 Q Why did that drop from 96 percent to 93-1/2 9 percent? 10 MR. HUSHKA: Objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we're looking at 12 MR. HUSHKA: Asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: So this is tricky with 14 videoconferencing. There was an objection. So I am glad 15 that you completely stopped. The objection was that it's 16 been asked and answered. Response, Mr. VerStandig? 17 MR. VERSTANDIG: I believe there was a high level 18 discussion of the variance between the two, but there wasn't 19 a specific identification of the cause that invited a 2.5 20 percent drop in the projection for stabilized occupancy. 21 THE COURT: I am going to allow the witness to 22 answer again or embellish either way. 23 THE WITNESS: So in the most recent report, in the

Document Page 19	of 55
Page 70	Page 72
1 developed using sources referenced there. So it is simply a	1 occupancy, the higher the value, correct?
2 function of changes in market conditions from one report to	2 A All else being equal, that would be true. Yes.
3 the next as to how that changes.	3 Q You had spoken generally about, and probably more
4 Because that comes from generally third-party	4 than generally, I think you named some specifically, about
5 providers that provide us analytical information about the	5 the data sources you used. Did you use any market studies
6 status of the market.	6 conducted by the City of Watertown in drafting either of the
7 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	7 reports that are on the screen?
8 Q Are you familiar with two buildings known as	8 A Not in my recollection. No.
9 Parkside and Generations?	9 Q And do you still believe that a 24-month lease-up
10 A Yes.	10 period is the appropriate metric for this property?
11 Q Are you familiar that they're both currently	11 A That was my opinion on the day that I wrote that
12 debtors in bankruptcy in this court?	12 report, the most recent report. Yes.
13 A No. I was not.	13 Q Almost five months ago, though.
14 Q Okay. Did you have and are they both located	14 A I do not have the information in front of me to
15 in Watertown, South Dakota?	15 formulate any opinions at this time.
16 A Yes.	16 Q Well, let's be clear about this. Are these your
17 Q From a rental perspective, are they comparable to	17 opinions as of July of 2025 or as of today?
18 what Ruins would be upon completion?	18 A They're as of the day I wrote the report or issued
19 A Yes. I believe that I use them as rent	19 the report. So the date of the report, I believe, is July
20 comparables in my most recent report.	20 2025.
Q Okay. If they are at or near 100 percent,	21 Q Okay. And the report on the left is from 2022,
22 would that suggest	22 correct?
23 MR. HUSHKA: Objection, Your Honor	23 A I can't see the date on that. I thought that was
24 MR. VERSTANDIG: a hybrid	24 in 2023, the most recent report.
25 MR. HUSHKA: Assumes facts not in evidence.	25 Q I believe you are correct. I apologize for the
Page 71	Page 73
Page 71 1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in	Page 73 1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period
	-
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years.
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony	 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the	 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that data almost half a year later?
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for	 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the	tror. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that data almost half a year later? A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig.	 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that data almost half a year later? A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well.	tror. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that data almost half a year later? A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the	 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period quadrupled over two years. A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can see it now. Excuse me. Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that data almost half a year later? A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which is what I did.
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question.	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes.
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that?
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify.	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me?	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not?
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry.	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does.
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report?
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate?	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate? 21 A It would be an indicator. They're not the only	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the 21 opinion that you're most likely buyer is an investor who
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate? 21 A It would be an indicator. They're not the only 22 properties in the market. So there are other considerations	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the 21 opinion that you're most likely buyer is an investor who 22 give primary consideration to the income approach. So I
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate? 21 A It would be an indicator. They're not the only 22 properties in the market. So there are other considerations 23 to consider, but it's a data point or an indicator of how	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the 21 opinion that you're most likely buyer is an investor who 22 give primary consideration to the income approach. So I 23 elected to not do the cost approach for this most recent
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate? 21 A It would be an indicator. They're not the only 22 properties in the market. So there are other considerations 23 to consider, but it's a data point or an indicator of how 24 the market's performing.	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the 21 opinion that you're most likely buyer is an investor who 22 give primary consideration to the income approach. So I 23 elected to not do the cost approach for this most recent 24 assignment.
1 MR. VERSTANDIG: I actually think it is in 2 evidence. I believe Ms. Craig testified at the end of Day 3 2. Because we at the end of Day 2, we had the testimony 4 as to what rental revenue would be. And it was based on how 5 long it would take to achieve what has been achieved for 6 Parkside, what has been achieved for Generations and the 7 projection of numbers therefrom. 8 THE COURT: Did you say Ms. Craig or Mister? 9 MR. VERSTANDIG: Yes. Ms. Craig. 10 THE COURT: That's my memory as well. 11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Ms. Cathcart has asked the 12 question. 13 THE COURT: So I am going to overrule the 14 objection and allow the witness to testify. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question for me? 16 I am sorry. 17 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 18 Q If those properties are at or near 100 percent 19 rental rate, would that suggest an increase in stabilized 20 occupancy rate? 21 A It would be an indicator. They're not the only 22 properties in the market. So there are other considerations 23 to consider, but it's a data point or an indicator of how	1 error. It is 2023. So your estimated lease-up period 2 quadrupled over two years. 3 A Yes. If you say so, yes, it does. Yes. I can 4 see it now. Excuse me. 5 Q Does it not make sense then to sort of verify that 6 data almost half a year later? 7 A I was not asked to provide an opinion of value as 8 of today. I was asked to do it back in July of 2025, which 9 is what I did. 10 Q Now, the 2023 report contains a cost approach. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 23 of the new report 14 on the right sorry, that was a bit of a fake out. It 15 actually discusses the cost approach, does it not? 16 A It does. 17 Q Why is there no cost approach appraisal in the new 18 report? 19 A I am not required to do one to provide credible 20 appraisal results. And in my reconciliation, I was of the 21 opinion that you're most likely buyer is an investor who 22 give primary consideration to the income approach. So I 23 elected to not do the cost approach for this most recent

_	Document Page 20	ון ו	<u> </u>	
	Page 74		Page 76	
1	your buyer profile is still an investor, is it not?	1	appraised. So in this case, this section is SWOT analysis	
2	A Yes. It is.	2 identifies potential strengths, opportunities, weaknesses or		
3	Q But you still use the cost approach then?	3 threats that exist in the market. It doesn't mean that they		
4	A We did. Keep in mind that I was not the only	4 necessarily affect the property.		
5	appraisal involved in those appraisal assignments. So there	5	So in this case, I didn't reflect any additional	
6	were other appraisers working on those assignments. And	6	stigma, but I am recognizing or relaying to the client that	
7	that was the lead appraiser decided to complete the cost	7	there is a risk that it may be present.	
8	approach in that assignment. And I felt it was reasonable	8	Q I am honestly not sure that I understand. You're	
9	to include it at that time.	9	saying it may be present in the market but not in the	
10	Q Did you have any communications with Red River	10	property?	
11	State Bank or any agent thereof about including the cost	11	A Excuse me. Present or associated with the	
12	approach in your new appraisal?	12	property because it is it would be specific to the	
13	A I believe I suggested that it wasn't necessary at	13	property if it's underperforming.	
14	the beginning of the engagement. I don't recall exactly,	14	Q Are you aware of how other Watertown properties in	
15	but I might have said something like I don't know that it's	15	bankruptcy have performed historically?	
16	necessarily warranted to develop a credible opinion of	16	A No.	
17	value.	17	Q Have you done anything to investigate that?	
18	MR. VERSTANDIG: Okay. Can we please go to Page	18	A No.	
19	14 of the new report on the right? And could we scroll down	19	Q Okay. The line above that says, "There are two	
20	toward the bottom of the page? Thank you.	20	other properties similar to the subject in the central	
21	BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	21	business district that are currently operating below	
22	Q I am going to read the last bullet point just sort	22	stabilized occupancy." Which two properties are you	
23	of for clarity. It says, "The property has been partially	23	referring to?	
24	finished with no construction activity occurring recently,	24	A Those would be the two properties in the income	
25	and the developer has been in the local news due to the	25	capitalization approach that were used as rent comparables.	
	Page 75		Page 77	
1	Page 75 recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction	1	Page 77 I believe they were the two properties you referenced	
			-	
2	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction	2	I believe they were the two properties you referenced	
2 3	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property	2	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental	
2 3	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or	2	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that	
2 3 4 5	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma?	2 3 4	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination.	
2 3 4 5 6	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property	2 3 4 5	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and	
2 3 4 5 6 7	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so	2 3 4 5 6	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does -	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview? A I didn't interview any for that particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property? A Again, it's another identified like weakness in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview? A I didn't interview any for that particular characteristic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property? A Again, it's another identified like weakness in the market that I am trying to make the client aware. In	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview? A I didn't interview any for that particular characteristic. Q Okay. How did you go about applying that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property? A Again, it's another identified like weakness in the market that I am trying to make the client aware. In this case, I specifically referenced the lease-up period.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview? A I didn't interview any for that particular characteristic. Q Okay. How did you go about applying that characteristic to your appraisal then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property? A Again, it's another identified like weakness in the market that I am trying to make the client aware. In this case, I specifically referenced the lease-up period. So the more apartments or units that are vacant at the time	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recent bankruptcy filing and other historic construction delays. This increases the risk of the subject property having a negative stigma with local market participants or potential buyers." What is a bankruptcy stigma? A Well, any negative stigma can occur if a property is not performing how it should in the market. And so market participants may identify, you know, an opportunity to buy it at a discount or get it on the cheap. And so there's a risk of that. So we're identifying that that risk may exist in the market. Q How do you quantify that risk in terms of your appraisal? A Yeah. That's a good question. The quantifying something like that is extremely challenging. Most likely that would be quantified by interviewing market participants and getting their opinions as to what they felt would be an appropriate discount because of that stigma. Q Which market participants did you interview? A I didn't interview any for that particular characteristic. Q Okay. How did you go about applying that characteristic to your appraisal then? A Well, just because the characteristic or this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I believe they were the two properties you referenced earlier, but I'd have to go back and look at the rental survey in the income approach in my report to make that determination. Q Generations and A So Q Parkside being the two I referenced, correct? A I yes. I believe those are the two. It does I do identify the vacancy, there are identified vacancy at the time of the survey for each rent comparable and those are summarized in the income approach. So I am going from memory, excuse me. But I believe that those are the two that had below stabilized occupancy at the time of survey. Q Were you aware that those were two properties that had just recently been removed from receivership? A No. Q How does that observation impact the valuation of the property? A Again, it's another identified like weakness in the market that I am trying to make the client aware. In this case, I specifically referenced the lease-up period. So the more apartments or units that are vacant at the time of my survey, that's going to impact the lease-up period	

	Document Page 21	of !	55	
	Page 78		Page 80	
1	property to achieve stabilized occupancy.	1	Q There's generally a correlation between a	
2	Because essentially, the subject property is competing	2	borrower's rate and the prime rate though, correct?	
3	with all the other properties in the market that have below	3 A If the prime rate is another short-term interest		
4	stabilized occupancy for tenants. And they also compete for		4 rate, so it depends on what financial or what the objective	
5	tenants as tenants roll over or move in and out of	5	of the borrower is. If they want a variable interest rate	
6	stabilized properties as well. So there's constant	6	loan, then that loan will be priced off of a spread over	
7	transitioning and churn that's happening.	7	prime. If they want a long-term fixed rate loan, then in	
8	Q Could we please scroll to the next page on the	8	many cases, banks may price that differently, and use a	
9	right, Page 15, and the market volatility section? So this	9	longer-term fixed benchmark as their cost of funds, like a	
10	talk, I am going to read this a little bit. "President	10	10-year treasury or a federal home loan bank, you know, cost	
11	Trump's announcement of broad-based global tariffs on April	11	of funds to them. So	
12	2nd sent shockwaves through global financial markets.	12	Q And 10-year Treasury was 4.13 percent on April	
13	Potential impacts will depend on how long tariffs remain in	13	2nd, right?	
14	place, and the extent to which retaliatory tariffs by other	14	A Okay. I will believe that that's correct. I	
15	countries will impact the U.S. economy. The full economic	15	don't have that in front of me.	
16	effect of the tariffs is evolving and can result in slower	16	Q And it was 4.11 percent at the close of last week.	
17	growth as well as potential inflationary pressures."	17	MR. HUSHKA: Objection, Your Honor. He's	
18	It then goes on, second sentence in the next paragraph	18	testifying at this point. I don't know if he's asking the	
19	to say, "The impacts on interest rates, the 10-year treasury	19	Court to take judicial notice of the various rates, which we	
20	yield, leasing activity, real estate demand, construction	20	certainly happen to do since it was 4.25 in April of '25 and	
21	costs, availability of financing, and other values remain	21	up to 4.5 in July of '25.	
22	unclear." Do you see that?	22	MR. VERSTANDIG: 4.28 in July of '25 if you use	
23	A I do. Yes.	23	the second, which is the date of the report, but 4.13 on	
24	Q Okay. Let's talk about the impact on interest	24	April 2nd and 4.11 as of the close of last Friday. I think	
25	rates. Do you know what the Fed funds rate was on April 2nd	25	the bigger point, though, is	
	Page 79		Page 81	
1	when the tariffs were announced?	1	THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute.	
2	A Off the top of my head, I do not. I know that	2	MR. VERSTANDIG: the expert	
3	they were that the Fed was in a position of decreasing	3	THE COURT: The point of the objection is that	
4	rates or the Fed funds rate between the 2023 report and the	4	you're testifying, and so that is sustained. If you want me	
5	most recent report.	5	to take judicial notice of the rates, I am going to need	
6	Q Would it refresh your recollection if I suggested	6	something other than the recitation of counsel.	
7	that on April 2nd, the Fed funds rate was 4.37 percent and	7	MR. VERSTANDIG: I will work on something for	
8	that as of today, it's 3.88 percent?	8	tomorrow, Your Honor.	
9	A Sure. I will believe you on that. Yes.	9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	Q All right. So the Fed funds rate has actually	10	BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	
11	gone down.	11	Q Mr. Luther, how familiar are you with these	
12	A Correct.	12	benchmarks that you reference in your report?	
13	Q Okay. And am I correct that the prime rate was	13	A You know I am familiar with the benchmarks. I	
14	7.5 percent on April 2nd and is 7 percent today?	14	can't rattle them off the top of my head, but when I am	
15	A I will assume that those figures are correct. I	15	researching and preparing an appraisal report, we will look	
16	don't have those in front of me.		at them on the computer screen and, you know, take their	
17	Q All right. And a decrease in interest rates would	17	movement and a part of the overall picture.	
18	actually make the building more valuable, not less valuable,	18	Q All right. Without my testifying this time, is it	
19	correct?	19	your understanding that the 10-year treasury yield at the	
20	A All else being equal, a decrease in interest rates	20	close of last week was roughly what it was back in April?	
21	would have an impact on what buyers and sellers or what	21	A I guess I don't know that. I don't have the 10-	
22	buyers are willing to pay because of financing terms. The	22	year treasury information in front of me. Sorry.	
23	Fed funds rate is a short-term overnight rate that's	23	Q Nothing to be sorry about. What would the current	
24	different from the borrowing rates that the borrower secures	24	cap rate be for Watertown, South Dakota as we sit here	
ا م	from the bank, so.	25	today?	

Document Page 22	of 55
Page 82	Page 84
1 A I can't opine on what a cap rate would be today	1 me if I am wrong, but that's an annualized number.
2 because I the appraisal takes many days of research and	2 A Yes.
3 study and thought to come up with all the conclusions in the	3 Q There was testimony earlier in this hearing by Ms.
4 report. I am not prepared to offer an opinion on that	4 Craig that net income would be \$60,000 a month. I don't
5 today.	5 know if you were present for that or not. Were you present
6 Q So the cap rate in your report is your belief as	6 for that?
7 of July, but not necessarily your belief as of today.	7 A No. I was not.
8 A That is correct. Yes.	8 Q Okay. Can we agree that \$60,000 a month would be
9 Q You just talked earlier today that the plans for	9 \$720,000 a year?
10 the property changed between two of your appraisals. Do you	10 A Yes.
11 remember that?	11 Q Okay. Would net operating income of \$720,000 a
12 A Yes.	12 year, juxtaposed to \$495,060, increase the value of the
13 Q What leads you to believe they changed?	13 property?
14 A Well, I took a physical measurement of the	14 A All other characteristics being equal, yes, it
15 building when I was there, and I could identify that the	15 would have a positive influence on value.
16 building footprint was different than what was identified in	16 Q That's an almost, emphasis on the word almost, 50
17 the previous appraisal reports. And then, I combined that	17 percent increase in month-over-month net revenue, isn't it?
18 with the new architectural drawings that were provided to me	18 A Sounds correct.
19 by the client.	19 Q Okay. And to be clear, if that was the figure
20 Q You say new architectural drawings, are those	20 that would cause your appraisal to be for a higher sum,
21 different than old architectural drawings?	21 based on the sale approach, correct?
22 A The drawings were different than the drawings that	22 A If that was the net operating income that I would
23 were provided to us for the first assignment.	23 have concluded at. Yes. All else all other factors
24 Q Really?	24 being equal, it would have resulted in a higher value.
25 A To my knowledge, they were. Yes.	25 Q So I want to be careful not to be too summary
Page 83	
1 Q Were they by the same architect?	1 here, but if the net operating income was significantly
2 A Off the top of my head, I don't recall.	2 higher, and the lease-up period was significantly lower,
3 Q Did you look at architectural drawings for your	3 that would lead to a material change in your appraisal,
4 second or third appraisal?	4 right?
5 A We had those as a part of the work file. So those	5 A Yes. Again, all other factors being equal, aside
6 would have been incorporated in the report and considered.	6 from those two changes, yes, those would be positive
7 Yes.	7 influences on value.
8 Q Okay. Where did you receive those from in the	8 Q Okay. And as you may have caught on, I spent
9 beginning?	9 perhaps too much time reading these. It looks like the
10 A The very first appraisal report that we did, we 11 received the drawings from our client, Red River State Bank.	10 average median income I am sorry, the average end to 11 median income went up in Watertown, South Dakota from 2022
12 Q And for the most recent one, you also received	11 median income went up in Watertown, South Dakota from 2022 12 to 2025, correct?
13 them from your client?	13 A I don't see it. So I guess I can't speak to that.
14 A Yes. That's correct.	14 But I don't know that information off the top of my head.
15 Q Have you ever asked the Debtor for the	15 MR. VERSTANDIG: Madam clerk on the left, could we
16 architectural drawings?	16 please look at Page 18 and on the right, could we please
17 A No.	17 look at Page 26?
18 MR. VERSTANDIG: Could we please go to Page 14 on	18 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:
19 the right, so up one page, a little bit further up? Thank	19 Q It looks like we went from \$81,000 and change to
20 you.	20 \$86,000 and change for average household income, correct?
	21 A Yes. That's correct.
1 21 BY MR. VERSTANDICE	
21 BY MR. VERSTANDIG: 22 O Now, your pro forma has net operating income of	
22 Q Now, your pro forma has net operating income of	22 Q Okay. And median income popped from 64,000 and
22 Q Now, your pro forma has net operating income of 23 \$495,060. Do you see that?	22 Q Okay. And median income popped from 64,000 and 23 change to 68,000 and change, correct?
22 Q Now, your pro forma has net operating income of 23 \$495,060. Do you see that?	22 Q Okay. And median income popped from 64,000 and 23 change to 68,000 and change, correct?

Document Page 23	of 55
Page 86	Page 88
1 least a marginal increase in prevailing rental rates,	1 to see if I have any texts from the courtroom.
2 correct?	2 THE COURT: Take your time.
3 A Not necessarily. That is one characteristic of	3 MR. VERSTANDIG: Your Honor, if we could take
4 the market that may or may not influence rental rates.	4 perhaps 90 seconds just to make sure I am not missing
5 Rental rates can be influenced by a supply and demand	5 something, and otherwise I suspect I will be finished with
6 characteristics of the market, and that's one	6 this witness.
7 characteristic. So not necessarily. No.	7 THE COURT: Sure.
8 Q Could we please go to Page 58 of the report on the	8 MR. VERSTANDIG: I know we're at the end of a long
9 right? Now, this is a chart that shows market sale price	9 day.
10 per unit. Can I assume that's for apartment buildings in	THE COURT: Take your 90 seconds.
11 Watertown, South Dakota?	11 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. And I am going to
12 A Yes. That would be for the multifamily market	12 have a couple more questions, then we will be finished, I
13 segment of the area studied. So it includes Watertown, but	13 promise.
14 there's a map that is earlier, on the pages earlier that	14 BY MR. VERSTANDIG:
15 show the market area that is included in these graphical	15 Q On the right side, could we please go to Page 85?
16 representations of the data.	16 These are the vacancy rates that you had referenced earlier,
17 Q I'm not trying to quiz you, so if we need to	17 correct, Mr. Luther?
18 scroll to the map, we can. But do you have a generalized	18 A Yes.
19 sense of, is this going to be a map of the South Dakota	19 Q And this shows Parkside Place being 100 percent
20 region, of the Midwest, the United States, the Western	20 occupied, correct?
21 Hemisphere?	21 A Yes.
22 A Be it generally Northeastern South Dakota.	22 MR. VERSTANDIG: Your Honor, nothing further for
23 Q Okay. So it would be of a region of the state?	23 this witness.
24 A Yes.	24 THE COURT: Mr. Feist, any questions?
25 Q Good. And this shows the forecast for market sale	25 MR. FEIST: Yes. Your Honor, briefly, if I may.
Page 87	Page 89
1 price per unit in 2026 coming to, if I am reading this	1 THE COURT: Yes.
2 correct, about \$5,000 less than the high over the trailing	2 CROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER
3 10-year period, right?	3 BY MR. FEIST:
4 A Let's see here. So it looks like the high was	4 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Luther. You had given an
5 approximately a little over 80,000 a unit. And then, I am	5 opinion about the net present value of the TIF for The
6 not sure which number you're referencing. Are you	6 Ruins. Is that right?
7 referencing the current or the end of the year forecast	7 A Yes. In an earlier report. Yeah.
8 that's indicated by CoStar?	8 Q Right. I believe it was your earlier reports,
9 Q As best I can tell, the end of '26, and please	9 including your third report, correct?
10 correct me, I am not trying to read this for you. It looks	10 A I believe so. I would have to review that third
11 like the line hits the 75K mark right at the end of '26, if	11 report, but I to the best of my recollection.
12 I am reading that right.	12 Q And when you provided that opinion, I believe you
13 A Oh, at the end of 2026, yes, I see that. Yes. It	13 said you were valuing the expected cash flow from the TIF
14 looks like the line does cross 75,000 per unit.	14 and reducing it to present value. Is that correct?
15 Q Okay. So the forecast would be that a year from	15 A Yes. That's correct.
16 now it's about \$5,000 per unit off the high from '22 or late	16 Q Does the TIF increase the value of the real estate
17 '21, depending on how you look at that, right?	17 itself, or is it an independent or is the value
18 A Yeah. Using this chart in isolation. Yes.	18 independent of the real estate?
19 Q Okay. And on a 63-unit building, can we agree	19 A It's independent of the real estate. It's kind of
20 41-4 65 000	
20 that \$5,000 per unit would be a discount of \$315,000?	20 like a separate component since it is can be separated
21 A I don't have a calculator in front of me. I would	21 from the real estate and sold independently from the real
21 A I don't have a calculator in front of me. I would 22 assume that you're calculating correctly, but I can't	21 from the real estate and sold independently from the real 22 property.
21 A I don't have a calculator in front of me. I would 22 assume that you're calculating correctly, but I can't 23 calculate it here in my head, so.	21 from the real estate and sold independently from the real 22 property. 23 Q Right. So if the TIF revenue is sold, the TIF
21 A I don't have a calculator in front of me. I would 22 assume that you're calculating correctly, but I can't	21 from the real estate and sold independently from the real 22 property.

Document Page 24	of 55
Page 90	Page 92
1 So if you know if the current property owner that owns	1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER
2 the real property that were that I appraised sold it,	2 BY MR. HUSHKA:
3 then there is no value to that property owner. It's whoever	3 Q Mr. Luther, do you see that left document? And
4 owns it, whoever bought it, has the value of the TIF.	4 can you describe what that is?
5 Q Right. So if the property were sold, but the TIF	5 A Yes. That's the floor plan of the first floor, or
6 revenue was not transferred to the buyer, the TIF wouldn't	6 drawing of the first floor that was provided to us for that
7 have any value to the new owner.	7 assignment.
8 A That's correct.	8 Q All right. And if we can compare that, if you can
9 Q Similarly then, if the TIF revenue was assigned to	9 pull up on the right, Page 42. Do you see that, sir?
10 a third party, would the TIF have any value to the property	10 A Yes. That is also the floor plan or drawing that
11 itself?	11 was provided to us for that assignment.
12 A No.	12 Q All right. If we look in the upper right corner
13 MR. FEIST: I don't have any further questions.	13 of that right document, is there something in that corner?
14 THE COURT: Okay. So we're past 5:00. How long	14 A Yes. There's a on the right-hand side, there's
15 do you have for redirect?	15 an identification of the individual who prepared that or
16 MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, I think it would be	16 drew the document.
17 relatively brief, but I also wouldn't mind being able to	17 Q Okay. What is that if there's any significance
18 consult with my clients as well for any areas on redirect if	18 to that, what is it?
19 we could continue this to the morning. And then I think it	19 A I think it says Stroh, S-T-R-O-H. It's pretty
20 would be a short witness to wrap up if the Court is	20 small for me to read, but I believe that's what it says.
21 comfortable keeping them on overnight and whether Mr.	21 Q Okay. And is anything certified by an architect
22 Luther's available tomorrow morning.	22 stamp such as that?
23 THE COURT: So my hard stop is 6:00, CSOs won't	23 A I am sorry. I am not following your question.
24 permit me to go any longer than that. I don't know if we	24 Q When an architect stamps a document, such as it
25 can finish. That's really my question.	25 appears Mr. Stroh did in this case, does that signify
Page 91	Page 93
1 MS. STANLEY: Can we take a quick five-minute?	1 anything to your knowledge or opinion?
2 THE COURT: Yeah. To consult? Sure.	2 A Oh, so that would be signifying it's like an
3 MS. STANLEY: Yes.	3 official, you know, final version of the document.
4 MR. HUSHKA: Yes.	4 Q So is it your understanding that the floor plans
5 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. You may. I will just stay	5 and blueprints reviewed for the most recent report are the
6 here.	6 official as-built plans?
7 MR. HUSHKA: Thank you, Your Honor.	7 A Yes. That's my understanding.
8 MR. VERSTANDIG: Are you trying to hotbox us out	8 Q We also talked or was asked you were asked
9 of here?	9 briefly about the potential sale of The Lofts. Do you
10 THE COURT: Yeah.	10 remember that?
11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.	11 A Yes. I do.
12 MR. HUSHKA: And good thing I wore my turtleneck	12 Q When you're compiling sale data for sales
13 and undershirt.	13 comparison approach, how do you collect that sales data or
MR. HUSHKA: Well, we should be very brief, I	14 how do you know what properties have been sold?
15 believe, Your Honor, if we're	15 A Generally, we're researching a number of different
16 THE COURT: Great.	16 sources that we have to us. So one would be county records.
17 MR. HUSHKA: allowed to go	17 We'll look through county records to see if there's been any
18 THE COURT: Yes.	18 sales that have been recorded. We'll look through our
MR. HUSHKA: forward.	19 CBRE's proprietary database that has sales in it that have
20 THE COURT: Yes. Let's see if we can't finish	20 been entered in by other appraisers in our company. We also
21 with this witness today.	21 have third-party services like CoStar that provide sale
22 MR. HUSHKA: All right.	22 transaction data that we also review and look at to identify
THE COURT: You may proceed. MP. HUSHKA: Thank you. If I could have the clark	23 sales for the assignment.
MR. HUSHKA: Thank you. If I could have the clerk turn to Page 25 on 95-3, so that left document, Page 25.	24 Q Would any of those data sources potentially
25 turn to Page 25 on 95-3, so that left document, Page 25.	25 identify a membership sale where a membership interest in an

	Document Page 25	01 33	
	Page 94	Page 96	
1	LLC is sold from one member to another?	1 this hearing at 8:30 tomorrow morning providing everybody	
2	A My experiences is, is those generally are not	2 can get here. I am going to assume that you can get here	
3	recorded publicly when they're between two members. I don't	3 unless you call the clerk's office. Okay? All right.	
4	frequently see those as recorded with like the county, for	4 MR. VERSTANDIG: And, Your Honor, just for	
5	example. So if it's not recorded with the county, it	5 clarity, the Court's going to be taking a recess at 10:00	
6	wouldn't show up.	6 a.m., correct?	
7	Q And you don't know if the sale of The Lofts was a	7 THE COURT: Yes, at 10:00 a.m. I need to take a	
8	membership sale or not?	8 recess for 15 to 20 minutes is my best guess.	
9	A I don't know. No.	9 MR. VERSTANDIG: May we reasonably count on the	
10	Q Was there any particular reason why you did not	10 fact that we won't be in court from 10:00 to 10:15? I am	
11	include The Lofts in your sale comparison report, to your	11 scheduling a call with a judicial mediator in a totally	
12	knowledge?	12 unrelated matter.	
13	A If I am not aware of it selling it, then I	13 THE COURT: Yes. You are	
14	there's no reason to include it.	14 MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you, Your Honor.	
15	Q Okay. Lastly, you have talked about the	15 THE COURT: absolutely. And if I happen to	
16	valuations and your opinions as they relate to a moment in	16 finish in 10 minutes, I will just take five minutes to	
17	time, essentially a snapshot of July 2, 2025. Is that a	17 myself.	
18	fair summary of your fourth report?	18 MR. VERSTANDIG: I can learn to try to speak more	
19	A Yes. All value opinions are issued as of the	19 quickly than I do. But thank you.	
20	effective date of the report. And it represents the	20 THE COURT: That's fine. In fact, I you know I	
21	appraiser's opinion as of that date.	21 think it's possible it could go to 10:30, but it won't go	
22	Q And so essentially, if you wanted to provide a	22 after that. Okay. All right. Then we stand in recess.	
23	opinion as to that particular date for this hearing that	23 See you tomorrow morning at 8:30, I hope.	
24	started, it was originally noticed for September, and then	24 CLERK: All rise.	
25	continued to October and now continued again to November,	25 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded.)	
	Page 95	Page 97	
1	would you have had to have prepared three separate reports?	1 CERTIFICATION	
2	A Well, three or maybe more, depending upon how	2	
3	many times, you know, when they asked me to set that	3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing	
4	timestamp, if you will, that snapshot in time.	4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.	
5	MR. HUSHKA: Okay. No further questions, Your		
	MR. HOSHKA. Okay. No further questions, Tour	5 Sonya M. declarati Hyd	
6	Honor.	5 Songa M. delandi Hyd-	
7	Honor.	U	
	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig?	6	
7	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor.	6 7 7	
7 8 9	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde	
7 8 9	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9	
7 8 9 10 11	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG:	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9	
7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10	
7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct?	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you. THE COURT: Then the witness may be excused.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you. THE COURT: Then the witness may be excused. Thank you for appearing today. MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, we would state that I don't believe our next witness can get done in half an hour,	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you. THE COURT: Then the witness may be excused. Thank you for appearing today. MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, we would state that I don't believe our next witness can get done in half an hour, so this might be a good time for the day.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Honor. THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. VerStandig? MR. VERSTANDIG: One question, Your Honor. RECROSS EXAMINATION OF JOSHUA LUTHER BY MR. VERSTANDIG: Q Mr. Luther, just for clarity, the images on the screen at the moment, the one on the left is the first floor, the one on the right is the second floor, correct? A Yes. That's correct. MR. VERSTANDIG: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Feist. MR. FEIST: Nothing from me, thank you. THE COURT: Then the witness may be excused. Thank you for appearing today. MR. HUSHKA: Your Honor, we would state that I don't believe our next witness can get done in half an hour, so this might be a good time for the day.	6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300	

[**& - 5,000**] Page 1

	10 6 6 00 10	20.10.25.20.0	2.00 70.0
&	12 6:6 38:18	38:18,25 39:9	3.88 79:8
& 4:20 5:9	12,410,000	66:8,21 72:21	300 5:11,11
37:19	38:22	85:11	97:22
0	12.41 44:22	2023 23:20	31 29:4
	12151 97:5	38:21,23 43:13	315,000 87:20
09/26/2025 3:2	13 47:25 68:25	44:3,5 72:24	330 97:21
1	69:3	73:1,10 79:4	3429 5:3
1 38:21,23	131 3:6	2024 65:21,24	36 29:22
44:11 46:4	14 74:19 83:18	2025 2:9 46:19	37 6:12,13
57:5	143 3:10	47:12 72:17,20	38 30:5
1,500 15:22	14th 4:22	73:8 85:12	4
1.8 66:7,11	15 11:19 26:12	94:17 97:25	4 23:15,18,20
10 11:19 25:2	78:9 96:8	2026 87:1,13	36:16 46:12
63:2 78:19	15-20 10:17	206 4:22	48:10 49:9
80:10,12 81:19	1500 5:19	21 28:6 87:17	62:15,20
81:21 87:3	1630 4:5	218 4:13	4,162 22:23
96:16	170 62:15,21	22 44:22 87:16	4,520,000
10,730,000	18 85:16	23 44:23 64:16	47:12
23:17	19 27:23,25	73:13	4.11 80:16,24
10.7 39:16	1995 13:6	24 2:9 55:25	4.13 80:12,23
10/10/2025 3:6	1999 13:15	60:8 72:9	4.25 80:20
10/17/2025	1st 1:7 2:6 11:4	25 64:17 80:20	4.28 80:22
3:10	2	80:21,22 91:25	4.37 79:7
100 5:19 70:21	2 22:20 23:10	91:25	4.5 80:21
71:18 88:19	36:16 44:11	25-30002 1:3	40 30:10
109 3:2	46:4 57:5 71:3	25-30003 1:11	41,000 59:18
10:15 96:10	71:3 94:17	25-30004 1:19	59:22 60:4,9
10:30 96:21	97:25	7:3 63:8	42 92:9
11 3:2,5,9	2.5 69:19	26 28:18 85:17	495,060 59:18
11,140,000	20 20:20 96:8	87:9,11	83:23 84:12
23:19	2005 13:21	2nd 78:12,25	4:40 63:3
11,740,000	2017 15:7	79:7,14 80:13	5
38:20	2021 17:23	80:24	_
11.46 44:22	20:14 22:10,13	3	5 47:5
11.7 39:16	23:15 39:8	3 21:19 36:16	5,000 87:2,16
11501 97:23	2022 20:20	37:23 43:15	87:20
	23:18 37:10	49:9 57:5	
	20.10 07.10	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

[50 - amenities] Page 2

50 39:5 84:16	7.5 79:14	99 35:18	adds 33:17
520,000 23:16	71 34:11	a	adjustment
39:8	720,000 84:9	a.m. 10:15 96:6	34:16
55402 5:20	84:11	96:7	adjustments
57101-1030	75,000 87:14	aarestad 9:10	34:17,19
4:23	75k 87:11		administered
57104 5:12	77 34:20	able 20:21	1:3,11
58 86:8	8	52:18,21 56:20 90:17	administration
58102 2:7 4:14	8 48:10 53:19	above 76:19	13:13
58102-4246 4:6	68:24	above 76.19 absolutely	admissibility
58106-9231 5:5	80,000 87:5	96:15	21:15
59 6:14 41:22	81,000 85:19		admitted 37:19
46:12 62:15,20	85 88:15	accepted 43:7 accident 21:6	62:22
62:22 63:21	86,000 85:20	accommodate	advertising
5:00 90:14	89 6:8	10:5	58:12
5th 5:19	8:30 2:10 96:1	accurate 61:19	advisory 20:2
6	96:23	66:8 97:4	affect 53:20
6 46:20 47:12	90.23	achieve 52:16	57:7,9,10 76:4
6,980,000		71:5 78:1	affected 55:17
38:19	9.9 44:13 47:14	achieved 71:5	afternoon 7:13
6.9 44:14	90 88:4,10	71:6	7:19 8:2,6
60 31:15	92 6:6	achieves 54:5	12:21 89:4
60,000 84:4,8	9231 5:4	55:23	age 29:18
61 63:20	93-1/2 69:8	acronym 40:14	agent 74:11
62 6:14 32:8	93.5. 54:9	activity 74:24	ago 72:13
63 6:7 23:2	95 6:7	78:20	agree 84:8
87:19	95-1 19:25 21:2	actual 56:19	87:19
64,000 85:22	21:5,16	actually 15:1	agreeing 38:8
655 2:6	95-2 6:12 37:3	64:9 71:1	agreement
66 32:13	37:14,15,18,19	73:15 79:10,18	18:19
68,000 85:23	95-3 6:13 37:15	added 39:13	aid 68:21
6:00 90:23	37:16,18,19	42:12	allow 69:21
	43:6 48:9,10	additional 9:10	71:14
7	91:25	28:16 76:5	allowed 19:5
7 3:2,6,9 39:8	96 54:8 69:8	address 48:11	91:17
79:14	97 35:5	49:7	amenities
		 1 7.1	29:16
	Varitant I ac		

[amount - arrive] Page 3

amount 50:3	apartments	83:4,10 84:20	73:17,22,23
analysis 27:25	22:25 48:23	85:3	74:3,8,12
28:8,10,20	77:22	appraisal's	76:25 77:3,11
29:6 30:10,12	apologies	68:10	84:21 93:13
30:17 31:6	20:23	appraisals	approaches
32:10 36:14,15	apologize	15:4,19,24	33:24 60:18
56:8 76:1	50:22 72:25	67:11 68:6	61:8,17
77:24,24	appearance	82:10	appropriate
analytic 24:25	8:9 9:19	appraise 17:23	72:10 75:17
56:6	appearances	appraised	approved 8:14
analytical 70:5	7:10 8:10	26:22,23 33:6	9:16,19 14:8
announced	appearing 7:12	38:11 44:8	approximate
79:1	7:20,21 8:4,13	76:1 90:2	14:6
announcement	95:20	appraiser	approximately
78:11	appears 92:25	14:13 16:17,18	13:21 15:7,19
annual 59:6,8	applicable	21:24 38:2	39:2 44:1
59:11,12,14	33:12,16 35:13	43:20 74:7	59:18 64:3
annualized	applied 55:1	appraiser's	87:5
84:1	apply 33:12	94:21	april 78:11,25
answer 15:16	41:7 55:13,16	appraisers	79:7,14 80:12
15:17 45:17	applying 75:21	15:3,15 68:17	80:20,24 81:20
61:12 65:4	appraisal 6:12	68:18,22 74:6	architect 83:1
69:22	6:13,14 15:13	93:20	92:21,24
answered 8:20	16:11 19:7,14	appraising	architectural
69:12,16	20:13 23:22	14:3 63:16	51:22 53:17
answering	26:19 27:9	appreciate	82:18,20,21
8:18	35:21 36:5,22	10:11	83:3,16
anthony 4:25	37:9,10,13	approach 26:1	area 16:10
anticipate 8:14	40:17 43:6,12	32:13,15 33:14	24:20 27:16,25
8:23 9:12	46:17 55:11,12	33:18,19,25,25	28:1,10,10,11
11:13,17,18	55:15 62:16	34:12,13,21	28:14,15 38:4
anticipated	66:1,8 67:16	36:17 41:25	51:15 52:13
29:20 40:8	67:17,20,21	45:15 58:1	53:24 86:13,15
apartment	68:4,14 73:17	60:19,20,22	areas 56:24,24
24:15 53:12	73:20 74:5,5	61:9,9,11,13	90:18
65:1 86:10	74:12 75:12,22	61:18,18,21	arrive 47:23
	81:15 82:2,17	69:24 73:10,15	

[artful - believe] Page 4

•			2
artful 45:8	assume 44:15	b	78:11 84:21
aside 85:5	59:20 79:15	b 2:21 4:5 6:10	basically 55:22
asked 18:12	86:10 87:22	21:9,13	basis 15:4,12
45:16 66:3,5	96:2	back 22:20	15:13 19:2,8
66:20,23 69:12	assumes 70:25	27:15 38:10	30:16 31:19
69:16 71:11	assuming 36:3	44:22 47:5	52:14 59:8,13
73:7,8 83:15	62:2	63:7 64:10	bassford 5:16
93:8,8 95:3	assumption	73:8 77:2	bates 21:5
asking 45:22	36:2	81:20	bearing 22:10
64:4 80:18	assumptions	background	beginning 20:4
asks 67:20	35:19,20,21	14:21	46:12 74:14
assessment	36:4	bad 8:12,18	83:9
30:6,8	attempt 24:14	bank 3:1 4:12	begins 32:10
asset 66:10,13	attend 12:24	4:21 7:7,18,22	behalf 7:12,14
67:12	13:16	9:14,22 12:2	7:17,25 8:3,4,7
assigned 25:15	attest 22:5	16:22,24 18:2	11:11
41:5 49:8,14	43:23 46:23	20:14 37:10	belief 82:6,7
67:5 68:17	attorney 4:4,21	43:13 46:18	believe 9:8,8
90:9	5:2,10,17 7:19	49:21 58:23	9:15,18 11:22
assignment	attorneys 4:12	66:20,23 74:11	15:7,10 18:2
16:14,23 18:6	attributed	79:25 80:10	20:15 21:4
18:10,20,21,22	39:10	83:11	26:6 37:12
19:5 26:24	availability	bank's 3:5,8	41:13,14,17
27:5,14 32:18	78:21	bankruptcy	51:20 55:6
33:4 40:18	available 57:18	1:1 2:4,23 4:3	56:8 61:19,23
46:25 49:19	90:22	7:3 17:15 63:8	62:3 65:13,13
53:18 54:11,12	ave 2:6	70:12 75:1,4	65:15 66:7,9
66:15 68:1	avenue 4:5,13	76:15	67:7,9 69:17
73:24 74:8	5:11	banks 18:5	70:19 71:2
82:23 92:7,11	average 25:21	80:8	72:9,19,25
93:23	29:14 52:23	bar 48:12	74:13 77:1,8
assignments	85:10,10,20	based 9:2 24:7	77:12 79:9
15:14,22 16:12	aware 65:3,20	34:18 36:21	80:14 82:13
74:5,6	65:22 76:14	40:19 46:7	83:25 89:8,10
associated	77:14,20 94:13	53:17 55:4	89:12 91:15
25:19 47:18		56:2 67:19,23	92:20 95:22
76:11		71:4 77:24	

believed 51:18	38:5 43:15	bullet 74:22	82:1,6	
benchmark	53:19 69:2	burdick 2:5	capitalization	
80:9	74:20	business 13:13	25:25 34:21,25	
benchmarks	bought 90:4	76:21	35:4 44:25	
81:12,13	boulevard 5:3	buy 75:8	45:3,4,4,6	
benefit 41:3	box 5:4	buyer 25:22	54:21 55:1,2	
best 10:21	boy 13:21	60:25 61:12,23	55:12,13,15	
25:16 31:16,18	break 10:16	73:21 74:1	60:20 76:25	
39:25 49:22,24	brief 11:14,15	90:6	captured 60:4	
66:25 87:9	25:11 28:2	buyers 75:4	career 15:20	
89:11 96:8	90:17 91:14	79:21,22	15:23 16:14	
better 35:23	briefly 19:16	c	careful 84:25	
beyond 27:6	88:25 93:9	c 4:1 7:1 21:9	caren 4:17	
bid 19:4,7	broad 78:11	21:14 97:1,1	7:20 20:24	
67:23	broke 63:9	calculate 45:7	case 1:3,11,19	
big 22:21	brokers 24:19	87:23	3:1,5,9 7:2,3	
bigger 52:19	budget 18:6	calculated 42:9	10:4 11:13	
52:20 53:3	budgeted 33:3	45:6 56:21	12:9 17:1,3,7	
80:25	build 32:16,22		17:19 19:9,10	
bit 14:22 28:9	33:11,20 34:7	calculating 87:22	21:7 23:24	
44:25 63:22	builders 58:18	calculation	28:5 31:22	
69:1,2 73:14	building 22:14		42:9 51:7	
78:10 83:19	23:25 24:9	59:5,6 60:1 calculations	54:18 55:8,11	
black 13:10,11	25:21 27:16,20	33:15 53:7	63:8 66:18	
13:12,16	29:12 33:20	calculator	67:8 68:2 76:1	
blueprints	51:15,17,20,25	87:21	76:5 77:21	
93:5	52:3,7,9,19,20	calendar 11:4	92:25	
blunt 51:17	65:1 79:18	call 7:2 8:17	cases 80:8	
borrower	82:15,16 87:19	11:24 12:2	cash 40:16,21	
79:24 80:5	buildings	96:3,11	40:21,23,24	
borrower's	15:25 29:8	called 12:14	41:5,7,8 42:10	
80:2	70:8 86:10	54:3,21 65:12	61:2 67:5	
borrowing	built 25:21	calling 11:18	89:13	
79:24	28:15 29:17	calls 15:17	categories 25:5	
boss 22:1	52:3 93:6	campus 13:19	44:11	
bottom 21:19	bulk 67:14	campus 13.19	category 48:18	
26:4 37:22		55:17 81:24	49:11,17,18	
	V	val Solutions		

51:12 54:3	characteristic	96:24	communicati
cathcart 4:9	75:20,22,23	clerk's 8:17,17	74:10
7:15 17:16	86:3,7	96:3	companies
71:11	characteristics	client 25:14	36:2
caught 85:8	25:9,20,24	26:18 35:23	company 3:4
cause 39:9,17	27:21 28:21	40:19 41:9	5:10 8:1,3 15:4
69:19 84:20	29:12,15 34:22	49:17,19 66:16	15:5 32:20
cbre 14:24,24	34:23 56:14	67:15,24 76:6	68:22 93:20
15:6,8 21:24	57:9 61:1,25	77:20 82:19	comparable
38:2 43:20	69:25 84:14	83:11,13	32:10 34:5,14
cbre's 93:19	86:6	clients 15:14	34:16,19 39:25
central 76:20	charge 52:14	15:17,18 16:11	45:13 63:14,25
certain 14:12	52:19,21 53:24	40:15 90:18	64:13 65:2,8,9
27:11	chart 86:9	close 28:12	65:14,16,19,23
certainly 10:11	87:18	80:16,24 81:20	70:17 77:10
80:20	cheap 75:8	closer 11:19	comparables
certainty 36:25	choose 61:10	colleagues 68:8	33:5 70:20
43:3 46:9	chris 21:24	collect 93:13	76:25
62:12	christian 13:3	collected 56:18	compare 33:8
certified 13:24	13:4	collecting 27:8	45:18,22 51:1
92:21 97:3	christiana 7:15	colorado 13:18	92:8
certify 38:7	christianna 4:9	combined	compared
challenging	churn 78:7	82:17	51:21
75:14	circumstances	combines 14:7	comparison
change 36:10	31:21	come 32:11	34:12,13 48:8
39:9,16,17	city 41:2 72:6	33:9 35:15	60:19 61:9,18
44:13 48:24	clarify 57:12	41:9 82:3	93:13 94:11
59:19 85:3,19	clarity 71:25	comes 67:20	compensated
85:20,23,23	74:23 95:11	70:4	19:4
changed 39:15	96:5	comfortable	compensation
40:9 82:10,13	classes 14:8,10	90:21	19:3,6,9
changes 70:2,3	clear 66:20	coming 87:1	compete 31:2
85:6	72:16 84:19	commercial	78:4
changing	clerk 12:4,6,8	14:25 15:1	competing
24:12	63:5,18 64:4,5	19:13 22:24	78:2
chapter 3:2,5,9	64:10 68:23	36:22	compiling
3:9	85:15 91:24		93:12

complete 15:15 35:11 38:9 constructing convert 3:1 16:13 18:14,22 82:3 39:11 7:6 35:3 45 23:16 24:2,3 condition construction corner 92:1 29:20 38:20 18:14,14 29:21 24:3 33:3,16 92:13 39:15,22,23 32:7 49:24 34:1,4 39:1 corp 8:1 40:5,6 44:10 conditions 44:6,16,18 corp 8:1 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 47:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely contained	14
23:16 24:2,3 condition construction corner 92:13 29:20 38:20 18:14,14 29:21 24:3 33:3,16 92:13 39:15,22,23 32:7 49:24 34:1,4 39:1 corp 8:1 40:5,6 44:10 conditions 44:6,16,18 correct 19:1 44:20 47:16,20 35:19,20 56:2 47:22 55:23 23:6 34:10 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
29:20 38:20 18:14,14 29:21 24:3 33:3,16 92:13 39:15,22,23 32:7 49:24 34:1,4 39:1 corp 8:1 40:5,6 44:10 conditions 44:6,16,18 correct 19:1 44:20 47:16,20 35:19,20 56:2 47:22 55:23 23:6 34:10 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely contained 84:21 85:12	2
39:15,22,23 32:7 49:24 34:1,4 39:1 corp 8:1 40:5,6 44:10 44:6,16,18 44:6,16,18 23:6 34:10 44:20 47:16,20 35:19,20 56:2 47:22 55:23 23:6 34:10 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:24 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely contained 84:21 85:12	
40:5,6 44:10 conditions 44:6,16,18 correct 19:14 44:20 47:16,20 35:19,20 56:2 47:22 55:23 23:6 34:10 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
44:20 47:16,20 35:19,20 56:2 47:22 55:23 23:6 34:10 47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
47:21 61:5,11 56:4 70:2 74:24 75:1 55:8 60:7 6 74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
74:7 conducted 78:20 64:7,8,14,2 completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
completed 72:6 construction's 64:24 66:21 15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	:7
15:20 20:13 conference 24:5 66:24 67:2 22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12)
22:22 24:19 8:13 10:5,14 consult 90:18 68:8,9 72:1 27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	,22
27:11 35:17 confidential 91:2 72:25 77:7 36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
36:18 37:9 24:21 contacted 79:12,13,15 38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	22
38:18 60:1 confirm 11:7 17:25 80:2,14 82: 68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	
68:16 conform 30:3 contain 42:14 83:14,25 84 completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	,19
completely connection contained 84:21 85:12	3
	:18
68:21 69:15 16:24 17:6.12 32:14 85:21.23.24	,20
completion 9:7 17:18 contains 40:11 86:2 87:2,1)
47:23 55:23 consider 16:2 73:10 88:17,20 89	:9
60:5 70:18 28:11 32:17,17 contents 22:7 89:14,15 90	:8
component 33:7 71:23 41:19 47:1 95:13,14 96	:6
66:10,14 89:20 consideration context 10:3 correctly 52	:2
composition 73:22 continue 31:7 87:22	
33:6 considerations 90:19 correlate 64	:6
computer 71:22 continued 7:4 correlation	
81:16 considered 94:25,25 34:9 80:1	
concerned 61:1 27:11 31:12 continuing corresponding	g
concluded 32:18 54:6 33:1 25:10 30:8	
38:20,22 47:12 63:15 83:6 continuum 45:12	
84:23 96:25 considering 60:13 cost 18:6 32	13
conclusion 7:6 contract 18:20 32:15,16,19	,22
18:15 33:14,21 consistent 36:9 convenient 32:23,24 33	:1
34:18 38:17 36:11,12 46:3 10:9 33:3,4,7,10	11
40:12 constant 78:6 conversation 33:12,14,16	,18
conclusions constructed 10:22 33:19,22 34	
25:16 26:3 29:8,13 34:2,7 36:1	:1

[cost - decision] Page 8

			1 450 0
36:15,17 73:10	36:6,20 37:18	credibility	71:23 72:5
73:15,17,23	37:18 42:1,21	10:2	73:6 86:16
74:3,7,11 80:9	43:5 45:25	credible 73:19	93:12,13,22,24
80:10	46:12 47:24	74:16	database 93:19
costar 24:24	48:8 50:10,18	credit 14:7	date 23:16,18
56:6 87:8	50:20,24 59:10	56:19,25	23:19 38:21,23
93:21	59:14 62:4,18	cross 63:2,9,12	50:6 60:11
costs 34:4 40:4	62:20,20 63:1	87:14 89:2	72:19,23 80:23
40:4,8 47:18	63:7 69:13,21	95:7	94:20,21,23
47:18,22 78:21	70:12 71:8,10	crucial 8:21	97:25
counsel 7:15	71:13 80:19	csos 90:23	davenport
7:21 81:6	81:1,3,9 88:2,7	current 14:23	4:20
count 96:9	88:10,24 89:1	15:11 30:7	day 10:21
countries	90:14,20,23	56:2 81:23	15:11,12,13,13
78:15	91:2,5,10,16	87:7 90:1	15:14,14 22:17
country 97:21	91:18,20,23	currently	23:24 25:17
counts 28:25	95:7,17,19,24	14:24 70:11	71:2,3 72:11
county 41:2	96:7,10,13,15	76:21	72:18 88:9
49:14 93:16,17	96:20	cx 6:4	95:23
94:4,5	court's 45:17	d	days 82:2
couple 8:11	87:24 96:5	d 5:22 6:1 7:1	debt 58:16
88:12	courthouse 2:5	d&m 5:2 8:5,7	59:23
course 15:23	8:15,22	daily 15:4	debtor 1:9,17
16:14,16	courtroom	dakota 1:2 4:3	1:25 3:8 7:12
court 1:1 2:4	7:11,16,21	13:8,25 16:7	7:12,14 9:25
7:2,17,25 8:4,8	88:1	17:15 64:19,23	11:13 83:15
9:1,12,16,20	cover 15:3	65:1 70:15	debtor's 7:15
10:13 11:3,11	covers 11:8	81:24 85:11	debtors 4:4
11:15,21 12:3	crafting 63:25	86:11,19,22	11:11 70:12
12:17 14:19	craig 7:16 9:10	daniele 9:8	december 11:4
16:20 19:15,18	9:22 17:9,10	data 16:16	13:15 64:16
19:20,24,24,25	71:2,8,9 84:4	24:24,25 27:1	97:25
20:6,20,22	created 22:9	27:4,5,6,7,16	decide 60:21
21:2,7,10,12	40:22 44:1	30:6 31:8,10	decided 74:7
21:16,16 23:21	creates 32:4	32:20 36:10	decides 67:24
	41:5		decision 9:9
25:1,5,11 26:7	41.3	42:17 46:2 3	decision 7.7
25:1,5,11 26:7 26:13 32:14	41.3	42:17 46:2,3 56:3,5,11 64:6	uccision 9.9

[ucemies druining]				
declines 54:18	denied 19:20	developed	director 21:25	
decrease 44:23	depend 34:3	25:25 35:9	38:4 43:21	
45:2 47:13	78:13	39:19,19 47:15	disciplinary	
48:5 53:10	dependent	47:17 53:17	14:15,18	
79:17,20	19:6	55:4 70:1	discount 75:8	
decreased 40:9	depending	developer 41:3	75:17 87:20	
54:1	9:16 31:20	74:25	discounting	
decreases	87:17 95:2	developer's	41:8	
52:16 54:15	depends 40:24	32:23 33:8	discussed 62:8	
decreasing	60:12 80:4	developers	discusses 73:15	
79:3	depict 29:23	24:18	discussion	
dedicated	depiction	developing	69:18	
41:16	63:24	35:1 40:2	dismiss 7:6	
deduct 40:4	depreciation	development	displayed	
47:18 56:25	33:12,17	3:4 5:10 8:1,3	50:20	
deducting	describe 48:25	22:13,21 31:13	district 1:2	
47:22	49:5,12,22	38:12,24 40:8	30:2 40:15,22	
define 26:20	50:2 54:4 56:4	44:4 53:21	41:1 42:11	
definitely	92:4	diamond 5:17	76:21	
95:24	described 24:8	8:8	dividing 45:12	
definition	describes 29:7	differ 53:1	doc 3:2,6,10	
26:21	description	difference 49:4	docket 63:21	
degree 13:9,12	6:11 22:16	51:8 54:9	document 20:3	
13:18,20 36:25	desk 50:12	different 31:20	20:5,7,12 22:6	
43:2 46:9	detailed 57:25	33:24 35:11	25:2,6 37:4,6,8	
62:11	determination	51:22 60:18	38:7 43:9,11	
delays 75:2	77:4	66:13 79:24	43:24 46:14,16	
demand 78:20	determine	82:16,21,22	91:25 92:3,13	
86:5	24:10,14 77:25	93:15	92:16,24 93:3	
demographic	determined	differentiate	documentary	
28:3,16 56:7	19:10	23:22	20:25	
77:24	determining	differently	doing 35:24	
demographics	56:10 61:2	67:23 80:8	66:15	
16:16 24:12	develop 26:15	difficult 20:19	downtown	
demolished	27:19 33:17	dire 19:17	65:18	
22:15	39:21,23 41:4	direct 12:19	drafting 72:6	
	47:16 74:16	22:1 34:8 38:5		
	I	1014		

drawing 92:6	ecro 2:25	79:20 84:14,24	evidence 7:9
92:10	effect 52:6 53:6	85:5	37:20 62:23
drawings 18:6	53:9 78:16	equals 59:4	70:25 71:2
27:19 51:22	effective 23:18	equivalent	evolving 78:16
53:18 82:18,20	23:19 29:18	54:6	exactly 40:10
82:21,22,22	38:21,23 50:6	error 73:1	74:14
83:3,11,16	56:15,17 57:1	es 6:4	exam 14:11
drew 4:18 7:20	57:10,17 59:3	essentially	examination
92:16	59:22 60:11	18:23 22:18	12:19 63:2,9
drill 24:14	94:20	23:25 35:1	63:12 89:2
drills 28:9	eight 64:13	41:1 78:2	92:1 95:7,9
driven 40:19	either 10:7	94:17,22	examined
drivers 45:2	30:7 34:5	estate 14:25	12:16
drop 69:8,20	40:21 50:10,11	15:1 16:4	example 24:12
due 74:25	69:22 72:6	19:14 24:18,18	25:13 35:25
duly 12:14	elected 73:23	30:8 36:22	36:4 94:5
duties 15:11	embellish	66:12,14 78:20	exams 14:9
68:17	69:22	89:16,18,19,21	exclude 66:10
dx 6:4	emphasis	estimate 15:21	excluded 67:19
e	84:16	32:16,24 33:2	exclusively
e 2:21,21 4:1,1	employees 9:13	33:8,10,13,19	68:15
6:1,10 7:1,1	employer	38:18 39:6	excuse 36:5
12:23 97:1	14:23	54:25	39:23 47:17
earlier 77:2	encumbers	estimated	56:6 73:4
82:9 83:25	29:25	23:17,19 24:7	76:11 77:12
84:3 86:14,14	encumbrances	47:11 50:1	excused 95:19
88:16 89:7,8	29:2	55:5,19 73:1	executive 23:4
easements 29:2	engagement	estimating	25:8,12 44:24
ecf 6:12,13,14	74:14	56:23	45:16,18 47:25
19:25 37:3,13	ensure 8:20	estimation	48:9,15,16
37:19,19 43:6	entered 93:20	32:20 55:21	exhibit 20:16
46:12 62:15,22	entity 17:10	60:5	62:15,20,22
economic	entry 63:21	evaluations	exhibits 37:19
29:17 78:15	equal 52:8,18	48:5	50:20
economy 30:22	52:20 53:10,13	evans 4:20	exist 17:24
78:15	54:15,19 55:16	everybody	75:10 76:3
70.13	57:20 72:2	50:24 96:1	

[exists - floor] Page 11

exists 75:25	exposed 55:2	feasible 32:3	financing
expand 55:9	exposure 25:18	fed 78:25 79:3	40:15,22 41:1
expect 32:24	50:2,3,5,6	79:4,7,10,23	42:5 78:21
50:4 51:5	expound 56:16	federal 19:19	79:22
expected 29:14	express 51:6,6	80:10	find 11:22
29:16 30:3	expressed 51:9	fee 19:3,4,8	fine 21:14
31:2 35:1	59:5,8,12	67:21	96:20
42:10 56:18	extent 27:5	fees 58:8	finish 10:19
60:9 89:13	78:14	feet 22:23	47:22 90:25
expense 18:7	exterior 51:25	feist 5:14 6:8	91:20 96:16
expenses 40:3	extra 7:8	8:2,2 88:24,25	finished 10:9
57:21,25 59:2	extract 45:13	89:3 90:13	74:24 88:5,12
59:4	extracted 35:4	95:17,18	firm 4:3,11
expensive	45:9 55:4	felt 74:8 75:16	14:25 15:1
33:20	extremely	field 15:17	17:4,6,16
experience	75:14	19:13 43:3	firrea 18:16
14:21 36:22	f	fifth 5:18	first 4:5 7:9,11
42:25 46:7	f 2:21 97:1	figure 84:19	12:14 17:21,23
62:9	fact 36:3 39:10	figures 59:7	21:23 22:25
experiences	65:24 96:10,20	79:15	29:11 30:15
94:2	factors 24:11	file 24:21 33:7	38:1,17 39:24
experiencing	84:23 85:5	83:5	40:17,18 43:19
54:7	facts 70:25	filed 3:2,6,9	47:18 48:6,18
expert 7:23 9:3	fair 34:9 45:17	7:6	51:14 56:15
9:25 10:5	94:18	filing 75:1	68:6 82:23
16:19,21 17:4	fake 73:14	final 9:9 10:14	83:10 92:5,6
17:9,15 19:13	fallback 9:19	14:10 27:9	95:12
19:21,24 81:2	falls 4:23 5:12	33:10 35:13,15	five 72:13 91:1
85:25	13:3,3,19 15:2	93:3	96:16
explain 23:21	familiar 16:4	finally 35:18	fixed 80:7,9
25:7 27:17,23	65:12 70:8,11	46:11	flat 19:3,4,7
28:6 39:9,16	81:11,13	financial 25:23	floodplain
40:13 44:23	far 39:2	31:25 33:25	28:24
47:13 48:4	fargo 2:7 4:6	54:2 78:12	floor 18:6
51:3 54:9	4:14 5:5	80:4	27:19 92:5,5,6
explanatory	feasibility	financially	92:10 93:4
36:15	31:25 34:1	32:3	95:13,13

[flow - greater] Page 12

flow 40:16,21	friend 20:15	generated 31:3	91:17 96:21,21
40:21,23 41:5	front 13:22	42:11	god 12:10
41:7 42:10	72:14 79:16	generates 61:2	goes 18:23
61:2 89:13	80:15 81:22	generating	26:16 55:18
flows 41:8 67:5	87:21	60:12	57:24 78:18
focusing 54:2	full 78:15	generations	going 7:2 10:15
following 26:2	fuller 5:9	1:7 21:6 70:9	10:19 11:3
92:23	fully 8:23 24:6	71:6 77:5	12:3 24:15
follows 12:16	28:15	getting 63:9	30:10,19,22
footage 23:3	function 70:2	75:16	33:11 36:14
52:14 53:20	functional	give 12:9 20:2	37:4 39:15
footprint 82:16	28:25	24:24 49:1	41:17,18,23
forecast 86:25	funds 78:25	61:24 73:22	55:9 59:10
87:7,15	79:4,7,10,23	given 89:4	60:25 61:12,24
forecasted	80:9,11	gives 20:20	63:20 69:21
24:13	further 11:8	28:2,4 30:21	71:13 74:22
foregoing 97:3	25:6 28:9	35:23	77:11,23 78:10
forma 18:6	44:16,17 62:24	giving 26:21	81:5 86:19
40:2 56:11	83:19 88:22	51:4	88:11 96:2,5
83:22	90:13 95:5,15	glad 69:14	good 7:13,19
formally 18:8	g	global 14:25	8:2,6 12:21
forming 51:4	g 7:1	78:11,12	36:3 40:2
formula 45:11	general 13:24	go 7:8 8:11	64:22 65:23
formulate	14:2 28:4 36:4	20:9 23:2	75:13 86:25
32:20 72:15	56:9	27:23 28:6	89:4 91:12
formulating	generalized	32:1 34:2,2,11	95:23
61:3	86:18	35:5,21 44:19	gotten 44:15
forward 91:19	generally 14:5	44:24 45:5	graduate 13:5
four 13:9 32:1	14:6 28:13	46:20 47:5	graduated
48:9 67:11,12	40:4 41:12	53:13 61:10	13:3,10
fourth 48:15	51:4 52:6,8,11	62:1,4 63:18	graduating
51:17,21 54:12	54:10 67:19	63:20 66:16	13:7
94:18	70:4 72:3,4	68:24,25 69:24	graphical
frequently	80:1 86:22	73:13 74:18	86:15
94:4	93:15 94:2	75:21 77:2	great 91:16
friday 80:24	generate 52:21	83:18 86:8	greater 52:19
	56:20	88:15 90:24	

[griu - luchtilleu]			rage 13
grid 34:16	81:14 83:2	highlights 25:9	hourly 19:2
gross 51:15	85:14 87:23	hills 13:10,11	hours 14:7,12
53:12 56:15,17	hear 9:3,21	13:12,16	household
56:24 57:1,10	10:6 45:19	hire 18:5	85:20
57:18 59:2,3	59:11 63:2	hired 16:12	hurwitz 4:20
growing 28:15	hearing 3:1,4,8	17:23 18:9,21	hushka 4:18
growth 24:13	7:4 9:2 84:3	hires 25:14	6:6 7:19,20 9:5
78:17	94:23 96:1	historic 75:1	9:6,15 10:12
guess 20:17	hearings 10:18	historically	10:24 11:10,24
37:13 45:7	heavily 61:1	76:15	12:1,18,20
57:12 61:10	held 15:8	hits 25:9 87:11	19:12 20:11,15
81:21 85:13	help 12:10	hohn 4:25	21:17,18 25:1
96:8	45:14 48:4	hold 11:21	25:3 37:2,5,12
guessing 41:19	49:2 68:16	13:23 21:4	37:16,21 42:6
h	hemisphere	50:8	43:5,8 46:11
h 6:10 12:23	86:21	holiday 11:1	46:13 47:24
92:19	high 12:24	home 80:10	48:1,7,13
half 9:11 11:20	13:2,7 15:25	hon 2:22	50:11,15,25
73:6 95:22	28:1 30:21	honestly 76:8	59:17 62:14,24
hand 12:4	69:17 87:2,4	honor 7:13,19	69:10,12 70:23
59:16 92:14	87:16	8:6 9:6,15	70:25 80:17
happen 28:14	higher 34:2,2,4	10:12,25 11:10	90:16 91:4,7
50:5 80:20	34:5 40:7,7	11:12 12:1,18	91:12,14,17,19
96:15	44:18 45:1,3,5	19:12,16,23	91:22,24 92:2
happening	55:14,17 57:17	21:17 37:17	95:5,21
30:16,18 78:7	71:25 72:1	50:17 62:14,19	hybrid 70:24
happens 8:16	84:20,24 85:2	62:25 63:4,11	hyde 3:25 97:3
49:20 56:19	highest 25:16	70:23 80:17	97:8
67:13	31:15,18 32:5	81:8 87:24	i
happy 10:4	49:22,23	88:3,22,25	idea 9:4 35:23
hard 11:22	highlight 42:20	90:16 91:7,15	39:2
90:23	45:25	95:6,8,16,21	identification
harless 9:8	highlighted	96:4,14	49:13 69:19
hastings 2:22	26:7 62:4	hope 96:23	92:15
head 40:10	highlighting	hotbox 91:8	identified 24:8
41:12 59:20	29:12	hour 11:20	28:17 32:25
65:5 79:2		95:22	55:24 75:24

-	-		
77:9,19 82:16	incentive 41:3	incorporated	influences 85:7
identifies	incentivize	83:6	information
26:15,17,19,24	41:4	increase 44:14	18:3,4 27:7
28:21 29:20	include 66:16	55:16 71:19	28:3,16 30:13
30:1 31:17	66:18 67:15,25	84:12,17 86:1	30:14,21 31:12
34:17,22 35:22	68:3 74:9	89:16	33:7 39:25
49:19 76:2	94:11,14	increases 75:2	40:2 42:18
identify 25:14	included 23:3	increment	55:4 56:7 70:5
25:18 26:12,23	58:21,23 66:21	40:14,22 41:1	72:14 81:22
26:24,25 27:20	66:23 67:18	42:4	85:14
29:3 32:1	86:15	independent	informing
34:15 65:10	includes 26:21	32:21 89:17,18	31:10
75:7 77:9	86:13	89:19	infrastructure
82:15 93:22,25	including 8:15	independently	39:13
identifying	74:11 89:9	89:21	initial 52:3
28:10,14 75:9	income 18:7	indicated	inked 11:1
images 95:11	25:24,25 26:1	33:18 35:8,9	inspect 16:13
imagine 10:16	31:3 32:6	37:12 87:8	23:24 25:17
impact 77:17	33:25 34:20,22	indication	inspected
77:23 78:15,24	34:23 41:25	55:14	22:18 27:2
79:21	45:10,11,14	indicator	inspection
impacts 78:13	52:12,12,19,21	25:23 71:21,23	23:16 52:1
78:19	52:22 53:13,25	indicators 54:2	inspections
important 10:2	55:3,14,17	individual	27:2
10:3 25:20	56:13,15,17,17	92:15	instructions
28:21 29:15	56:19,24 57:1	indulgence	66:16
improved	57:8,8,10,16	87:24	insurance 36:1
31:20 32:7	57:18,18 58:1	industries 5:2	58:2,3
49:25	59:1,2,3,4,25	8:5,7	intended 26:17
improvement	60:2,3,19,21	inflationary	26:18
25:20 29:6	61:1,9,11,13	78:17	intent 30:23
improvements	61:18,21,24	influence	intentionally
29:7,9 30:3	69:24,25 73:22	16:25 17:7,13	87:25
32:17 39:12,13	76:24 77:3,11	17:19 84:15	interest 26:22
51:12	83:22 84:4,11	86:4	78:19,24 79:17
improving	84:22 85:1,10	influenced	79:20 80:3,5
39:11	85:11,20,22	86:5	93:25

[interim - lease] Page 15

interim 60:8	job 15:11	k	81:16,21 84:5
internal 49:1	john 5:7 8:6	kd 5:1	85:14 88:8
68:22	johnson 38:1	keep 74:4	90:1,24 93:3
interstate 5:3	43:19	keeping 63:19	93:14 94:7,9
interview	johnson's 38:4	90:21	95:3 96:20
24:16,16 75:18	joinder 3:4	kesha 4:16	knowledge
75:19	joined 7:14	7:21	66:25 82:25
interviewing	jointly 1:3,11	kind 11:1	93:1 94:12
75:15	jordan 5:14	15:10 18:4	knowledgeable
inverse 45:3	8:2	23:22 25:8,24	24:17
inversely 55:7	joshua 6:5 12:2	26:14 27:2	known 70:8
investigate	12:7,13,19,23	28:1,2,4,9,10	krings 5:7 8:6
76:17	63:12 89:2	29:2 30:13,16	8:7
investor 60:25	92:1 95:9	30:20,21,22	1
61:24 73:21	jr 5:7	31:25 32:5,21	1 12:23
74:1	judge 2:23	35:8,10,22	land 22:18
investors 60:25	judging 39:4	36:4 89:19	24:1 28:14,22
invite 50:18	judicial 80:19	kindly 63:21	29:7 32:5,8,11
invited 69:19	81:5 96:11	klobucar 5:22	32:12 33:17
involve 11:14	july 20:20	know 7:10 8:10	landscaping
involved 74:5	38:18,25 39:8	8:20,24 9:1	29:10
iowa 13:25	44:22 46:19	10:8,10,14	large 15:3
64:19	72:17,19 73:8	11:23 19:6	largest 14:25
isolation 87:18	80:21,22 82:7	20:2 22:9,12	lastly 94:15
issued 72:18	94:17	22:21 24:12,20	late 87:16
94:19	jump 28:18	27:11 29:15	latest 66:1 68:4
issues 7:4 9:23	29:4,22 31:15	30:19 33:22	68:10
item 52:24	32:8 35:18	35:25 36:2	law 4:11 5:1
54:21 55:19	47:24 50:1	38:8,24 39:4,5	17:4,6
57:21	51:11	40:23 41:14	lead 74:7 85:3
itemized 27:5	jumping 30:5	50:9 54:6	85:25
j	32:13	57:17 62:1	leads 82:13
j 4:18 5:14	june 23:18	65:5,7,15	learn 17:21
jeffrey 5:22	juxtaposed	67:10,19,22	96:18
jenkins 21:24	84:12	74:15 75:7	lease 40:4,8
jesse 7:16 17:9		78:25 79:2	47:18 55:19
•		80:10,18 81:13	56:10 72:9
	Veriteyt I ed		

[lease - market] Page 16

			2
73:1 77:21,23	list 11:8	50:5 52:23	95:9,11
85:2	little 14:22	56:8 77:2	luther's 90:22
leasing 78:20	28:9 41:23	81:15 83:3	m
ledanski 3:25	44:25 55:10	85:16,17 87:17	m 4:25 5:7
97:3,8	63:22 69:1,2	92:12 93:17,18	mac 20:24
left 48:15,22	78:10 83:19	93:22	macro 30:13
54:12 55:12	87:5	looked 16:15	30:23
59:22 68:23	live 8:23	22:17	macroecono
72:21 73:25	llc 1:7,15,23	looking 20:25	30:13,15
85:15 91:25	17:10,22 94:1	21:4 23:10	madam 63:18
92:3 95:12	llp 4:20	24:11 35:16	64:9 68:23
legal 97:20	loan 80:6,6,7	50:9,13,15,19	85:15
legally 31:23	80:10	56:10 59:7	made 9:9 60:1
32:2	local 24:16,18	69:11 73:25	mailing 49:7
level 28:1	24:18 30:14,17	87:25	maintenance
30:21 31:6	31:8 74:25	looks 45:1	58:6
69:17	75:3	64:21 85:9,19	make 8:9,22
liability 30:9	located 28:2,24	87:4,10,14	10:20 34:17,19
license 13:24	64:3 70:14	losing 53:19	50:19 73:5
14:2	location 25:13	loss 35:1 56:19	
licensed 14:13	49:5,7	56:25	77:3,20 79:18 88:4
15:5 68:18	lofts 65:12,23	lot 8:12 30:12	makes 57:18
licenses 13:23	93:9 94:7,11	39:12,13	57:19
14:16	logistics 8:11	lots 29:9	
licensure 14:4	9:24	lower 45:5	making 60:9
life 29:17	long 24:9 71:5	54:20 55:13,13	manage 15:2
likely 11:14	78:13 80:7	57:19 85:2	management 58:8
25:22 61:23	88:8 90:14	luther 6:5 9:7	map 28:3 64:2
73:21 75:14	longer 10:17	12:2,7,13,19	86:14,18,19
limiting 35:19	80:9 90:24	12:23 17:21	march 23:20
35:20	look 10:19 11:3	19:13,19 20:3	64:17 65:21
line 23:11	11:6 19:3	25:4 42:23	marginal 86:1
48:18 52:23	24:11,23,25	45:20 50:11	marginai 80.1 mark 87:11
53:19 54:21	25:5 31:19	62:7 63:12,14	market 16:5,16
55:19,20 57:21	33:9 34:23	63:24 69:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
59:1 76:19	37:22 41:18	81:11 88:17	24:16,24,24
87:11,14	44:21 45:16,18	89:2,4 92:1,3	28:5 30:10,12 30:14,18,25,25
			30.14,10,23,23

-			\mathcal{E}
31:1,3,7,9	maximum	44:22 66:7,11	monthly 53:13
33:23 34:5,5,6	31:25	mind 74:4	59:5
35:4 40:3,11	mean 8:25	90:17	months 51:2,2
41:6 45:9 50:4	67:13,18 75:24	mineola 97:23	60:8 72:13
54:7,13 55:2,4	76:3	minimum 14:7	morning 10:16
56:2,4 70:2,6	means 9:24	minneapolis	90:19,22 96:1
71:22 72:5	27:17,18	5:20 38:4	96:23
75:3,6,7,10,15	measured	43:21	mosbach 21:23
75:18 76:3,9	51:25	minnesota	motion 3:1,5,9
77:20 78:3,9	measurement	13:25 64:19	7:6
86:4,6,9,12,15	82:14	minus 59:2	move 78:5
86:25	measurements	minute 11:19	movement
market's 71:24	51:24	81:1 91:1	81:17
marketable	median 85:10	minutes 10:17	moves 9:17
36:3	85:11,22	63:2 96:8,16	45:4
marketing	mediator 96:11	96:16	moyna 38:3
25:18 50:7	meet 14:11	missed 11:15	43:20
marketplace	member 94:1	missing 88:4	multifamily
63:17	members 94:3	mister 71:8	15:25 30:24
markets 78:12	membership	mix 27:21	31:4,7,8 60:23
marshall 32:19	93:25,25 94:8	29:14,19,20	86:12
33:1	memory 71:10	mixed 15:24	multiple 18:17
martin 18:2	77:12	60:23 64:25	32:18 42:23
master's 13:18	methodologies	mn 5:20	56:2 62:7
material 49:3	46:2,3	moment 20:1	n
85:3	methodology	20:18,20,22	n 2:5,6 4:1 6:1
math 59:20	27:9 36:10	64:5 87:25	7:1 97:1
mathematical	42:17 57:2	94:16 95:12	name 12:6,22
45:10	metric 72:10	momentarily	12:22 18:2
matter 1:5,13	michael 18:2	62:2	25:13 48:19,25
1:21 8:18	38:3 43:20	monday 2:9	49:1
18:25 68:20	mid 15:25	10:25 11:4	named 72:4
96:12	middle 41:24	month 55:25	national 30:16
matthew 38:1	midwest 86:20	59:19 60:4,10	30:20 31:6
38:4 43:19	mile 8:23	72:9 84:4,8,17	32:19
maurice 4:8	million 39:8,16	84:17	nationally
7:14	39:16 44:13,14		30:16
			30.10

	T		
nature 18:7	noi 45:14	objective 80:4	12:3 18:10,24
nd 2:7 4:6,14	nope 33:1	observation	20:4,18,22
5:5	normal 16:10	77:17	21:10,16 22:5
near 41:19	north 1:2 4:5	observed 28:25	36:19 40:11
70:21 71:18	northeastern	obtain 13:12	42:7,13 44:19
nearly 39:8	86:22	13:20	44:24 45:24
nebraska 14:1	northern 4:13	obtained 13:18	49:5 50:18,21
64:19	note 11:13	obviously 28:3	50:22 63:1,7
necessarily	notes 50:6,20	occasionally	64:4,25 65:6
34:3 74:16	notice 80:19	40:15	65:23 66:1,10
75:25 76:4	81:5	occupancy	67:1 70:14,21
82:7 86:3,7	noticed 94:24	24:4,4,7 54:4,5	72:21 73:13
necessary	november 2:9	54:11,18 55:24	74:18 75:21
74:13	94:25	56:20 57:17	76:19 78:24
need 9:9 14:7,8	number 7:3	69:5,20,25	79:13 80:14
14:10,11 24:15	14:7,12 25:14	71:20 72:1	81:1,9 83:8
40:19 65:15	25:22 28:23	76:22 77:13	84:8,11,19
68:1 81:5	41:24 42:14	78:1,4	85:8,22 86:23
86:17 96:7	48:10 49:13	occupied 24:5	87:15,19 90:14
needed 7:8	55:3,6 57:19	88:20	92:17,21 94:15
59:23	59:25 63:8	occur 75:5	95:5,25 96:3
negative 75:3,5	84:1 87:6	occurring	96:22
negatively	93:15	74:24	old 73:25 82:21
55:18	numbers 36:9	october 44:3,5	97:21
neighborhood	49:11 71:7	44:23 94:25	once 60:24
28:8,11,17	ny 97:23	offer 19:13	open 11:5
net 40:12,20	0	30:2 37:13,16	operating 40:3
41:9 42:12	o 2:21 7:1	62:15 82:4	45:11 56:11
45:11 59:1,4	92:19 97:1	office 8:17,17	57:21,25 59:1
59:25 83:22	objection 3:8	15:2 43:21	59:2,3,4,25
84:4,11,17,22	19:15,23 37:15	96:3	76:21 83:22
85:1 89:5	50:8 62:17,18	official 93:3,6	84:11,22 85:1
new 73:13,17	62:19 69:10,14	oh 13:21 21:8	opine 82:1
74:12,19 82:18	69:15 70:23	45:21 50:14	opinion 18:12
82:20 90:7	71:14 80:17	87:13 93:2	23:23 25:17
news 74:25	81:3	okay 7:17,25	26:16,20 30:2
		8:9 9:12 11:3,6	31:13 32:12,21

-			
35:3,14 38:19	overrule 71:13	pages 30:20	pay 34:6 59:23
40:20 47:9,11	overview 14:22	41:24 62:15,20	61:4 79:22
49:23 51:5	23:11 28:4	64:6 69:2	payment 40:24
55:25 61:3	30:21	86:14	payments
72:11 73:7,21	own 32:21	paid 18:22,24	58:18,21,23
74:16 82:4	68:15,21	18:25 58:19	payroll 58:10
85:25 89:5,12	owned 17:10	paragraph	pc 5:9
93:1 94:21,23	owner 90:1,3,7	78:18	penciled 11:1
opinions 16:25	owns 89:25	parcel 25:14	people 7:11
31:10,13 36:19	90:1,4	28:23 49:11	8:12,14 43:18
36:21,24 42:23	р	parking 29:9	percent 24:4
42:24 44:8	p 4:1,1 7:1	29:17	39:5 53:19
46:6 47:6 62:7	p.o. 5:4	parkside 1:15	54:8 69:8,9,20
62:9 72:15,17	pacific 4:13	70:9 71:6 77:7	70:21 71:18
75:16 94:16,19	package 19:3	88:19	79:7,8,14,14
opportunities	page 6:2,11	part 11:16	80:12,16 84:17
76:2	21:19,20 22:2	48:10 81:17	88:19
opportunity	22:20,25 23:10	83:5	percentage
75:7	23:10 25:2	partially 74:23	24:14
opposed 53:15	26:2,12 27:23	participants	perfect 20:6
order 9:3	27:25 28:6,18	24:17 34:6	63:22 64:11
10:10 35:13	29:4,22 30:5	75:3,7,15,18	perform 14:12
originally	30:10 31:15	particular 10:4	67:11
94:24	32:8,13,25	26:6 31:5	performed
outcome 19:7	34:11,11,20	38:14 42:20	76:15
19:10	35:5,18 37:23	59:15 75:19	performing
outlined 25:6	38:11 41:22	94:10,23	15:13 71:24
outlines 42:9	43:15 44:7	particularly	75:6
outside 8:19	46:12,20 47:5	10:3	period 14:14
50:20	47:24 48:10	parties 8:9	55:20 56:10
overall 34:25	63:20 64:10	party 10:7	72:10 73:1
54:21 55:2	68:24,25 69:2	24:23 67:6	77:21,23 85:2
81:17	73:13 74:18,20	70:4 90:10	87:3
overarching	78:8,9 83:18	93:21	permissible
18:19	83:19 85:16,17	pass 14:9,10,11	31:23
overnight	86:8 88:15	past 90:14	permit 90:24
79:23 90:21	91:25,25 92:9		
	Veritext Les	ral Calutions	

perimited - prom	J
permitted 30:1	
person 7:20	p
9:14,18,21	p
10:7	p
perspective	
70:17	p
phillips 5:11	
phone 8:18	p
87:25	p
phones 8:20	
photographs	p
22:16	p
physical 49:7	
82:14	p
physically	
31:24 32:2	
picture 81:17	p
place 1:15 8:19	p
61:12 78:14	
88:19	
plan 18:6	p
27:19 92:5,10	
plans 27:16	p
52:3 82:9 93:4	p
93:6	p
please 12:6,21	
20:2 37:3	p
43:18 48:10	
55:10 63:18,20	p
68:24,25 74:18	
78:8 83:18	
85:16,16 86:8	
87:9 88:15	
pllc 5:1	p
point 36:7 51:7	
51:9 71:23	p
74:22 80:18,25	
	1

-
81:3
policies 8:19
popped 85:22
population
24:13,15
portion 31:16
35:19
portions 45:24
position 14:23
79:3
positions 15:8
positive 84:15
85:6
possible 9:18
31:24 32:2,3
96:21
postpone 9:24
potential 56:23
75:4 76:2
78:13,17 93:9
potentially 9:6
93:24
practice 16:10
pre 20:2
preference
9:20 10:6
prejudice
16:25
prepared
43:12 46:17
47:2 68:15
82:4 92:15
95:1
preparing
81:15
present 7:16
9:25 23:25

29:3 40:12,20
41:8,9 42:12
76:7,9,11 84:5
84:5 89:5,14
president
78:10
pressures
78:17
presumably
68:3
pretrial 10:14
pretty 92:19
prevailing 86:1
previous 40:9
40:13 68:16
82:17
previously
66:6
price 19:5
45:12 80:8
86:9 87:1
priced 80:6
primarily
39:10,24
primary 31:3
45:2 60:25
61:24 73:22
prime 79:13
80:2,3,7
principal 7:16
prior 16:18,23
17:3 24:3
42:15 50:6
53:16 54:8
55:6 57:2
60:11

4 8	•		\mathcal{C}	
progressed	57:8,16,19	92:6,11	quickly 96:19	
44:17	58:2,3 60:9	providers	quiz 86:17	
project 22:21	61:2 63:15,16	24:23 70:5	quoting 67:20	
32:24 41:4	65:12,17 67:3	provides 32:20	r	
60:6 65:18	67:8 72:10	providing 96:1	r 2:21 4:1 7:1	
projected 30:7	74:23 75:2,5	proximity	12:23 92:19	
projection	75:25 76:4,10	28:12	97:1	
56:13 69:20	76:12,13 77:18	publicly 94:3	raise 12:4	
71:7	78:1,2 82:10	pull 19:25 43:5	range 11:19	
projects 24:19	84:13 89:22,24	46:12 48:8,11	51:6,8	
promise 88:13	90:1,2,3,5,10	66:6 92:9	rate 24:7 34:25	
properties 33:5	property's	purpose 26:19		
60:23 64:13	30:7	push 11:19	44:25 45:3,4,6 54:22 55:1,3	
66:15 71:18,22	proposed	put 9:15 20:17	55:12,13,16,17	
76:14,20,22,24	17:24 29:13	34:16 42:4	, , , ,	
77:1,14 78:3,6	30:3 32:16	q	69:5 71:19,20	
93:14	39:12		78:25 79:4,7	
property 14:3	proprietary	quadrupled	79:10,13,23,23	
16:13 17:24	93:19	73:2	80:2,2,3,4,5,7	
18:13,13,18	provide 14:22	quantified	81:24 82:1,6	
22:17 23:8,24	16:11 18:5,12	75:15	rates 35:4 45:4	
24:2,4 25:9,13	18:15 19:5	quantify 75:11	78:19,25 79:4	
25:17,19,25	22:16 23:11	quantifying	79:17,20,24	
26:23,25 27:1	24:24 25:11	75:13	80:19 81:5	
27:3,10 28:1	38:11,14 40:16	quentin 2:5	86:1,4,5 88:16	
28:12 29:15,16	41:2 44:8,14	question 53:14	ratings 28:24	
29:25 30:8	47:6 60:15	61:10 65:4	ratio 45:10,13	
31:2,18 32:22	61:5 66:3 70:5	71:12,15 75:13	55:3	
33:11,11 34:15	73:7,19 93:21	90:25 92:23	rattle 81:14	
34:23,24 35:2	94:22	95:8	rcx 6:4	
36:1 39:14	provided 16:19	questions	rdx 6:4	
48:18,25 49:2	18:3,4,17	15:16,18 45:17	reach 36:21,24	
49:2,8,14,24	25:12 27:16,18	62:24 88:12,24	42:24 46:6	
52:12,17 53:24	29:1 36:19	90:13 95:5	55:25 62:8,11	
53:25 54:5,25	44:11 51:22	quick 11:4	reaching 23:7	
55:1,5,22	53:18 61:19	43:18 45:18	read 74:22	
56:14,18,25	82:18,23 89:12	59:21 91:1	78:10 87:10	
, , -	,		92:20	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[reading - rentable]			
reading 52:2	receives 21:16	42:2,5 73:20	region 86:20
85:9 87:1,12	37:18 62:20	record 11:23	86:23
ready 63:9	recent 47:2,6	12:6,22 63:6,7	regional 21:25
real 11:4 14:3	51:23 53:4,5	97:4	38:3 43:21
14:25 15:1	53:15 56:1,21	recorded 93:18	relate 94:16
16:4 19:14	57:13 60:15	94:3,4,5	related 34:8
24:18,18 30:8	62:15 63:25	records 93:16	55:7
36:22 66:12,14	65:8,9,10	93:17	relates 14:16
67:13 78:20	66:24 68:14,20	recross 95:9	14:19 18:17,25
89:16,18,19,21	69:23 70:20	red 3:1,4,8	41:11 47:3
89:21 90:2	72:12,24 73:23	4:12,21 6:14	relatively
realize 45:21	75:1 79:5	7:7,17,22 9:14	11:14,15 90:17
50:23	83:12 93:5	9:21 11:10	relaying 76:6
really 51:7	recently 74:24	12:1 16:21,24	relevant 67:25
82:24 90:25	77:15	18:1 20:13	67:25
reason 8:21	recess 96:5,8	37:9 43:12	rely 27:19
19:8 33:23	96:22	46:18 49:20	remain 12:3
40:6 48:24	recitation 81:6	74:10 83:11	36:11 49:9,15
49:3 67:7,9	recognition	redirect 90:15	78:13,21
94:10,14	19:20	90:18 92:1	remained
reasonable	recognize	reducing 89:14	49:16
36:24 43:2	19:24 20:6,9	reference	remele 5:16
45:22 46:9	37:6 43:9	63:20 81:12	remember
62:11 74:8	46:14	referenced	10:23 17:25
77:25	recognizing	70:1 77:1,7,21	41:10 49:2
reasonably	76:6	88:16	50:5 73:11
96:9	recollection	referencing	82:11 93:10
recall 41:16	72:8 79:6	87:6,7	remote 9:16,18
74:14 83:2	89:11	referring 76:23	remove 67:16
recaptures	reconcile 26:5	reflect 76:5	removed 77:15
35:10	33:10 34:18	reflection	removes 66:1
receive 83:8	35:13	44:17	rent 52:14,16
received 83:11	reconciled	reflects 23:23	53:25 56:24
83:12	25:10 26:4	24:2,6	65:14,16 70:19
receivership	33:16 35:16	refresh 79:6	76:25 77:10
77:15	reconciliation	regarding	rentable 52:13
	35:7 41:20	31:11 40:12	53:24 56:24

[rental - river] Page 23

rental 70:17	72:21,24 73:10	researched	review 15:17
71:4,19 77:2	73:13,18 74:19	65:7	89:10 93:22
86:1,4,5	77:3 79:4,5	researching	reviewed 52:4
rents 34:5 40:3	80:23 81:12,15	35:25 81:15	93:5
repairing 21:8	82:4,6 83:6,10	93:15	right 11:7,24
repairs 58:6	86:8 89:7,9,11	reserves 58:14	12:4 19:2 20:6
repeat 71:15	93:5 94:11,18	residential	20:15 21:8
repeats 18:23	94:20	53:20	23:7 26:10
report 6:12,13	reports 18:17	respect 62:8	37:2,22 38:3
6:14 22:8,9,16	36:15 44:11	respectfully	38:10 39:7
22:21 23:4	46:4 49:9 51:1	21:3	41:24 42:10,17
24:8,8,22	53:16 68:16	response 69:16	46:11 47:9
26:17,18 31:16	72:7 82:17	responsibiliti	48:14,16,18,22
35:22 36:5,8	89:8 95:1	15:11	50:1 51:1,11
36:11,12,20	representations	responsibility	54:12 55:15
37:3,13 38:9	86:16	22:7 38:9	56:9 59:16,21
38:11 40:9,11	representative	43:25 46:25	64:10,21 67:4
40:13 41:13,21	18:1	68:19	68:4,11,24
42:14,15,21,23	representatives	result 78:16	73:14 74:19
43:6,12,14,25	7:22 9:13,13	resulted 84:24	78:9 79:10,17
44:1 45:19,24	9:21 10:6	results 38:8	80:13 81:18
46:2,6,17,24	represents	54:20 55:14	83:19 85:4,16
47:2 48:9,14	24:1 94:20	73:20	86:9 87:3,11
48:15 51:9,18	request 9:16	resume 63:3	87:12,17 88:15
51:18,21,23	40:17,17	95:25	89:6,8,23 90:5
52:2 53:1,4,5	requests 16:12	retail 22:24	91:22 92:8,9
53:15 54:8	required 50:4	retained 18:8	92:12,12,13,14
55:24 56:1,7,8	66:18 73:19	18:11,18 24:21	95:13,25 96:3
56:22 57:3,13	requirement	33:7	96:22
58:1 59:6,16	18:16	retainer 18:19	rights 26:23
60:15 62:3,8	requirements	retaliatory	rise 15:25 63:5
62:16 64:1	14:4 30:4	78:14	96:24
65:13,14,16,17	reschedule	return 32:5	risk 75:2,9,9
66:19,24 68:18	10:15	revenue 54:19	75:11 76:7
68:21 69:23	research 27:4	54:19 71:4	river 3:1,5,8
70:2,20 72:12	27:6 40:1 82:2	84:17 89:23	4:12,21 6:14
72:12,18,19,19		90:6,9	7:7,18,22 9:14

[river - several] Page 24

			1 450 2 1
9:22 11:10	93:23	secondary 41:6	seeing 22:24
12:1 16:21,24	saying 76:9	seconds 88:4	seems 10:2
18:1 20:14	says 74:23	88:10	segment 30:18
37:9 43:13	76:19 92:19,20	section 25:7,8	30:25 31:1,3
46:18 49:20	scheduling	25:23 26:7,13	86:13
74:10 83:11	96:11	26:14,22 27:6	segments 31:1
road 97:21	school 12:24	27:9,24 28:7,8	selected 34:14
roll 78:5	13:2,7	28:19,20,21	65:10
rough 9:4 39:6	schooling	29:5,6,7,23,24	sellers 79:21
roughly 81:20	13:16	30:5,6,11,12	selling 94:13
ruins 1:23 7:3	scope 26:14,15	30:15,15 31:5	senior 21:24
16:2 17:10,22	35:23	31:17 32:9,10	sense 73:5
18:13 22:12,21	scott 21:23	32:15 33:2,14	86:19
23:12 38:12,25	screen 20:3	34:20,22,25	sent 78:12
41:11 44:4,8	31:6 68:24,25	35:6,7,8 41:13	sentence 11:16
47:3,7,10	72:7 81:16	41:15,16,20	78:18
48:22,23 59:23	95:12	42:5 56:11	separate 18:20
60:22 61:20	scroll 20:8 22:2	69:24 76:1	37:4 66:12
63:8 70:18	22:20 25:1	78:9	89:20 95:1
89:6	27:15 30:19	sections 36:7	separated
run 9:22 66:12	31:7 38:10	42:14 62:3	66:13 67:3,8
S	41:15,23 42:3	secures 79:24	89:20
s 4:1 6:10 7:1	44:2,7,25	see 7:23 20:3,4	separately
92:19	46:19 48:6	20:9 22:23	18:18
sale 45:12 50:4	63:21 64:5,10	41:17 44:2	september
63:14 64:25	69:1 74:19	46:18 48:2,14	38:23 94:24
84:21 86:9,25	78:8 86:18	48:19 51:12,15	service 16:11
93:9,12,21,25	scrolled 42:13	52:24 54:22	32:19,19 58:16
94:7,8,11	sd 4:23 5:12	57:22 60:16	services 18:25
sales 32:11	search 42:1	65:7,15 69:5	59:23 93:21
33:24 34:6,12	seated 12:4	72:23 73:4	set 41:2,10
34:13,14,16,17	second 6:12	78:22 83:23	95:3
34:19 40:1	37:10,13 38:2	85:13 87:4,13	sets 64:6
45:13 60:19	38:11 43:20	88:1 91:20	setting 11:23
61:9,18 63:25	78:18 80:23	92:3,9 93:17	settled 10:23
64:16 65:9,19	83:4 95:13	94:4 96:23	several 64:6
93:12,13,18,19			
75.12,15,16,17			

-	_		· ·
shockwaves	signify 92:25	six 51:2,2	85:10 92:23
78:12	signifying 93:2	size 22:24	sort 73:5 74:22
shon 2:22	signing 22:5	25:21,21 27:20	sound 66:8
short 10:16	38:7 43:23	27:20,21 28:23	sounds 59:21
79:23 80:3	46:24 68:16	29:14,14 52:23	84:18
90:20	similar 33:5	53:15	source 36:10
show 24:22	36:15 42:14,17	sizes 23:7	39:25
86:15 94:6	46:3 47:16	skipped 55:19	sources 26:25
showing 29:11	53:14 63:15	slightly 27:15	27:7,16 32:18
31:5 59:16	76:20	44:7	56:3,5 70:1
shows 22:23	similarly 90:9	slower 78:16	72:5 93:16,24
23:15 28:3	simply 27:18	small 92:20	south 5:11,19
60:3 86:9,25	29:24 44:18	smaller 51:17	13:8,25 16:7
88:19	45:10 68:20	51:20 52:3,7,8	64:19,23 65:1
shultz 5:9	70:1	53:3,5,12	70:15 81:24
side 20:16 48:8	single 51:7,9	smith 4:20 5:9	85:11 86:11,19
48:8 59:16	67:12	snapshot 94:17	86:22
63:19,19 68:23	sioux 4:23 5:12	95:4	space 22:24
68:25 73:25	13:3,3,19 15:2	softening	span 67:12
88:15 92:14	sir 12:21,24	54:11,13	speak 11:2
sign 68:18	13:5 14:17	sold 41:6 63:16	15:12 85:13
signature	16:1 17:20	65:20,21,24	96:18
21:23,25 22:3	19:1,11 21:20	67:5 89:21,23	speaker 91:11
22:4 38:1,2,5	26:13 27:24	90:2,5 93:14	speaking 14:5
43:19,20,22,22	36:6,23 37:6	94:1	16:18 39:7
46:21 68:7,10	37:22 42:19	solemnly 12:8	52:6
97:5	43:9 44:12	solutions 97:20	specific 18:10
signatures	46:14 48:2,7	somebody 8:18	28:10,13,14,17
21:20,22 37:23	48:14 54:22	67:20	30:14,25 31:9
43:16 68:7	62:1 92:9	somewhat	69:19 76:12
significance	sit 81:24	44:20	specifically
51:3 92:17	site 22:14,15	sonya 3:25	72:4 77:21
significant	28:20,22,22	97:3,8	spelling 12:22
47:13	29:1,7,9,9,13	sorry 45:21	spent 85:8
significantly	32:6,16,17	59:10 64:11	spoken 72:3
85:1,2	39:11 52:1	69:2 71:16	spread 80:6
		73:14 81:22,23	

[spring - sure] Page 26

spring 20:24	state 3:1,5,8	strictly 40:19	summarize
springing 20:1	4:12,21 7:7,18	stroh 92:19,25	25:15,16 26:3
square 22:23	7:22 9:14,22	strong 9:20	28:19 29:4
23:3 52:14	12:2,6,21 13:8	10:6	32:14 33:15
53:20	13:10,11,12,17	structured	summarized
stabilization	13:24,25,25,25	40:25	42:11 77:11
44:20,21 47:19	14:9 16:22,24	studied 16:15	summarizes
stabilize 24:9	18:2 19:20	86:13	25:24 29:14,25
55:22	20:14 37:10	studies 72:5	30:7 33:2,15
stabilized	43:13 46:18	study 82:3	34:13 35:8,11
18:14 23:18	49:20 58:23	subcategories	49:23
24:6,7,7 35:2	74:11 83:11	51:14	summarizing
38:22 39:19,24	86:23 95:21	subcategory	27:2
40:1,5,7 44:10	statement 18:7	54:3 56:15	summary 23:5
45:11 47:17,19	35:1	57:24	25:8,11,12
54:3,6 55:24	states 1:1 2:4	subject 14:15	28:2 34:9
56:13,20 60:2	14:5 15:5	14:18 18:13	44:24 45:16,18
60:3,11,24	86:20	28:12 30:18	47:25 48:9,15
61:14 69:5,20	status 22:12	31:1,2 33:6	48:16 57:15
69:25 71:19,25	38:24 44:4	34:14 35:2	65:16 84:25
76:22 77:13	70:6	49:14 55:1,5	94:18
78:1,4,6	stay 91:5	60:24 65:2	supervise
staff 15:2,15	steps 32:1	75:2,25 76:20	15:15
19:25 38:2	stigma 75:3,4,5	78:2	supervises
43:19 68:22	75:17 76:6	subsequent	14:13
stamp 21:5	stipulate 20:16	67:16	supervisor
92:22	20:21 21:11,14	suggest 70:22	38:5
stamps 92:24	stipulating	71:19	supply 86:5
stand 96:22	20:19	suggested	supported
standard 35:21	stop 90:23	74:13 79:6	33:23 34:4
stanley 4:17	stopped 69:15	suggestion	suppose 68:5
7:20 91:1,3	stopping 95:25	10:20	supposed 8:11
start 15:6	straight 7:8	suite 4:5 5:11	22:22 31:19
31:22 56:23	street 4:22	5:19 97:22	sure 9:11 11:7
started 13:2,8	5:18,19	sum 84:20	21:4 23:23
94:24	strengths 76:2	summaries	39:5 40:10
		45:19	43:2 50:19

[sure - time] Page 27

			1 000 = 7
55:11 65:4	talk 14:21 27:1	46:7 55:6 71:2	48:12 57:16
76:8 79:9 87:6	31:22 35:12	83:25	64:9 71:1 72:4
88:4,7 91:2	78:10,24	testify 71:14	80:24 90:16,19
surrounding	talked 10:24	testifying 9:5	92:19 96:21
28:22	82:9 93:8	9:14 80:18	third 6:13
survey 77:3,10	94:15	81:4,18	24:23 43:6,13
77:13,23	talking 10:20	testimony 9:3	43:22 48:9,14
suspect 20:21	28:13 57:12	9:21,25 10:5	51:18,21 54:11
88:5	talks 27:9	11:9 12:8	55:12 67:6
sustained 81:4	35:15	16:19 71:3	70:4 83:4 89:9
swear 12:4,8	tanabe 4:16	84:3	89:10 90:10
sworn 12:14	7:21	testing 33:25	93:21
swot 76:1	tariffs 78:11,13	texts 88:1	thought 20:19
systems 5:17	78:14,16 79:1	thank 10:12,13	20:24,24 21:13
8:8	tax 30:6,9	12:1,18 19:18	72:23 82:3
t	40:14,22 41:1	20:23 21:12,17	thousands
t 6:10 12:23	42:4 49:13	50:17,24 59:14	15:22
92:19 97:1,1	technical 13:19	63:4,11 69:3	threat 75:24
tab 48:11	technique 26:4	74:20 83:19	threats 76:3
table 27:7,15	34:15 35:10,12	88:11 91:7,24	three 11:14,18
29:11,19 41:19	techniques	95:15,18,20,25	14:6 21:8
59:15	27:12 35:17	96:14,19	23:22 35:16
take 7:9 10:16	teleworking	thanksgiving	38:14,16 48:5
10:17 14:8	8:19	10:25	51:14 68:6,7
20:1 24:9 41:7	tell 12:15 87:9	therefrom 71:7	95:1,2
43:25 46:25	tenant 24:3	thereof 74:11	tif 40:12,14,20
51:5 55:22	tenants 60:24	thing 91:12	40:24 41:10,14
63:1 68:19	78:4,5,5	things 15:18	41:16 42:11
71:5 80:19	term 79:23	18:7 27:22	66:1,7,15 67:7
81:5,16 88:2,3	80:3,7,9	29:10 52:18	67:16,22,23,24
88:10 91:1	terms 9:2,25	56:9	68:2 89:5,13
96:7,16	10:10 34:5	think 7:9 9:2	89:16,23,23,25
taken 8:10	75:11 79:22	10:22,24 11:7	89:25 90:4,5,6
51:24	terrific 11:21	18:2 21:5	90:9,10
takes 30:12	territory 15:4	31:17 32:21,25	time 7:8 11:23
82:2	testified 12:16	33:10 35:13	14:14 16:17,18
	36:20 42:24	36:6 37:3	17:24 18:3,18

-			_
18:21,23 19:12	ton 68:3	81:22	typically 32:23
21:24 22:14,19	took 82:14	tribal 19:20	44:16 49:7
25:18 38:3	top 22:11	tricky 69:13	52:21 66:14
39:1 42:21	23:11 40:10	true 68:5 72:2	67:5
44:6 50:2,3,3,5	41:12 65:5	97:4	u
50:6,7,15 51:5	79:2 81:14	trump's 78:11	u 12:23
51:9 55:21,23	83:2 85:14	truth 12:9,10	u.s. 2:5,23
56:3 60:13	tops 10:17	12:10,15,15,15	78:15
62:5,14,24	total 23:3	try 9:17 96:18	ultimate 33:21
67:12,19 72:15	25:21 29:17	trying 20:24	57:7
74:9 77:10,13	33:18 52:16	77:20 86:17	ultimately
77:22 81:18	53:25 57:16	87:10 91:8	33:22 60:21
85:9 88:2	totally 96:11	turn 10:10	unclear 78:22
94:17 95:4,23	touch 48:6	21:19 26:12	under 34:15
timeframe	toward 69:1	43:15 50:12	39:1 44:6
65:9 77:25	74:20	91:25	undergraduate
times 16:15	towards 41:20	turning 60:14	13:9
95:3	towers 5:18	turtleneck	underlying
timestamp	traffic 28:25	91:12	32:12
22:11 95:4	trailing 87:2	twelve 51:2	underneath
title 20:9 36:1	training 36:21	two 9:11 11:18	14:12 15:3,16
36:1,3	42:25 46:7	15:2 38:6	underperfor
titled 34:20	62:9	45:23 51:1,8	76:13
today 8:13 9:3	transaction	60:5,15,18	undershirt
9:7 10:9,25	93:22	61:5,8,14,17	91:13
36:21 72:17	transcribed	68:7 69:18	understand
73:8 79:8,14	3:25	70:8 73:2	25:6 36:9 76:8
81:25 82:1,5,7	transcript 97:4	76:19,22,24	understanding
82:9 91:21	transferred	77:1,7,8,12,14	81:19 93:4,7
95:20	13:2,9 90:6	82:10 85:6	understood
together 34:16	transitioning	94:3	10:12
tomorrow 8:15	78:7	type 27:5 29:16	undeveloped
8:22 9:4 10:14	translate 34:3	30:1 40:22	24:1
10:20 11:7	34:24	41:3 49:2	unidentified
81:8 90:22	travel 16:13	types 15:18	91:11
96:1,23	treasury 78:19	typical 18:4	unimproved
	80:10,12 81:19	61:12	22:18
	<u> </u>	ral Solutions	

[unit - view] Page 29

unit 25:21	uses 28:14 30:1	26:16,20,21	valuing 41:16
27:21,21 29:14	31:23,24	27:10 31:14	60:22 89:13
29:14,19,20	using 9:18 70:1	32:9,11,12	variable 80:5
52:23 53:15,20	87:18	33:17,18,21,24	variance 69:18
86:10 87:1,5	usually 41:4,14	34:3,14,18,24	variety 24:11
87:14,16,19,20	67:18	35:3,7,8,11,12	various 25:5
united 1:1 2:4	utilities 29:1	35:14,16 38:12	26:25 27:10,20
86:20	58:4	38:17,18,19,20	80:19
units 23:1	utility 28:25	38:22 39:4,7	verify 73:5
24:15 25:22	utilizing 42:25	39:15,18,18,19	veritext 97:20
31:4 53:12	62:9	39:22,23,24	version 93:3
77:22	V	40:5,5,6,7,11	verstandig 4:8
university 13:8	vacancy 56:18	40:12,16,20	6:7 7:13,14
13:10,11,19	56:25 77:9,9	41:5,7,8,9,13	11:12,17 17:16
unknown 2:25	88:16	42:12 44:8,13	19:16,19,23
unrelated	vacant 31:19	44:21 45:2,10	20:17,18,23
96:12	31:22 49:24	45:14 47:6,10	21:3,9,11,13
unusual 29:2	77:22	47:11,15,16,17	37:15 50:8,17
upfront 40:24	valuable 52:9	47:19,20,21,23	62:17,19 63:4
upper 92:12	57:19,20 79:18	50:7 54:16,20	63:10,11,13,18
use 15:24	79:18	55:3,14,17	63:23 64:9,12
24:10,19 25:16	valuation 23:8	57:9,11 61:3,6	68:23 69:4,16
26:15,17,25	23:11 26:3	61:15 66:11	69:17 70:7,24
31:16,18 32:2	27:11 32:19	67:22,23 72:1	71:1,9,11,17
32:3,3,4,11	33:1 34:15	73:7 74:17	74:18,21 80:22
34:24 36:5	35:10,12,17	84:12,15,24	81:2,7,10
49:22,24 60:21	52:7 53:6,20	85:7 89:5,14	83:18,21 85:15
60:23 64:25	54:14 55:7	89:16,17,24,25	85:18 87:24
65:19 70:19	57:7 61:20	90:3,4,7,10	88:3,8,11,14
72:5 74:3 80:8	66:2 77:17	94:19	88:22 91:8
80:22	valuations	valued 66:7	95:7,8,10,15
used 23:7 33:4	31:11 60:14,15	68:2	96:4,9,14,18
63:25 64:14	61:5,14 94:16	values 23:14	video 8:13 10:5
65:15 72:5	value 18:12,15	25:10 26:4,5	videoconfere
76:25	23:15,17,18,23	26:16 35:9	69:14
users 26:18	23:24 24:1,1,2	45:3,5 48:5	view 28:1
	24:6,6 26:1,3	78:21	
	4T.0,0 40.1,3		

- ,			0
visibility 28:25	way 35:5 45:8	69:21,23 71:14	y
visit 10:8	68:6 69:22	71:15 88:6,23	yeah 10:13
visual 22:16	ways 27:10	90:20 91:21	11:4,6 27:18
63:24	wdc 58:21	95:19,22	31:17 39:10
vogel 4:11 17:4	we've 8:10 9:9	witnesses 8:21	40:14 41:23
17:6	24:19 33:6	9:10,23 10:4	45:9 48:6
voir 19:16	34:14 42:13	10:21 11:5,14	50:10,18,24
volatility 78:9	56:6 67:18	11:18	54:10 55:11
W	weakness	woods 5:9	57:15 59:24
w 4:17	75:24 77:19	word 42:1	67:18 69:11
walk 25:4	weaknesses	84:16	75:13 87:18
43:18 48:4	76:2	words 32:5	89:7 91:2,10
wall 5:17 8:8	weather 8:12	wore 91:12	91:11 95:24
want 13:22	9:17,23	work 11:6	year 13:9 14:6
25:4 36:6	wednesday	14:13,21,24	25:21 29:17
42:20 45:25	10:18	15:3,15,17	67:12 73:6
51:15 62:4	week 7:5 10:22	24:21 26:14,15	78:19 80:10,12
66:16 67:21,22	10:24 80:16	35:23 39:13	81:19,22 84:9
67:25 80:5,7	81:20	67:14,22 68:3	84:12 87:3,7
81:4 84:25	weight 61:13	81:7 83:5	87:15
wanted 8:10	61:24	worked 16:21	years 60:5 73:2
94:22	went 15:10	17:3,9,15	yep 20:9 32:15
wanting 45:18	36:8 39:7	working 15:6	50:16
warranted	85:11,19	49:3 74:6	yield 78:20
74:16	west 4:22	works 11:5	81:19
washington	western 86:20	world 15:1	Z
13:2	wheel 52:1	wrap 7:5 90:20	
wasting 66:13	wide 11:5	wrapped 7:4	zero 24:4
watertown 3:4	willing 20:16	wrong 21:7	zoning 25:18
5:10 7:25 8:3	61:3 79:22	84:1	28:23 29:24,25
16:4,5,12,15	witness 6:4 9:3	wrote 72:11,18	30:2,4
24:20 28:5	10:5 11:25	X	zoom 7:21,23
31:9 64:22	12:7,11,14	x 1:4,10,12,18	
65:1,11,18	19:21,22,25	1:20 2:1 6:1,10	
70:15 72:6	20:4,8 42:2	, , , , ,	
76:14 81:24	50:9,14,16,22		
85:11 86:11,13	59:12,15 69:11		
		1014	